20170518\_HL7\_LRI\_PH\_Notes

Attendees: Craig, Kathy Freida, Riki, Ron, Andrea

LRI ballot reconciliation:

LRI#105: How can we make more clear that GU datatypes MUST be used with LRI\_PH, but all other profiles allow a choice between either GU or NG flavors? This applies to most of the fields, where LRI\_PH is invoked that are listed in section 6.3.2 that don’t have explicit mention of that already. Agree to make more clear - but how best to convey that GU an be used by all, but it is the only ONE allowed in LRI\_PH

Motion to change to: MSH-3 Sending Application Description/Comments

LRI\_PH\_Component: Usage: ‘R’; Cardinality: [1..1]

GU data type: HD\_01

All other profiles:

Usage: RE’; Cardinality: [0..1]

GU data type: HD\_01

NG data type: HD\_02

Freida Hall, Craig Newman, no further discussion, against:0, abstain:2, in favor: 3

LRI#106: Apply same as LRI#105

LRI#107: Apply same as LRI#105

LRI#116: Apply same as LRI#105

LRI#128: Apply same as LRI#105

LRI#129: Apply same as LRI#105

LRI#134: Apply same as LRI#105

LRI#135: Apply same as LRI#105

LRI#146: Apply same as LRI#105

LRI#147: Apply same as LRI#105

LRI#154: In Quest system have only one specimenID per the container it is delivered in, even if MORE than one test use it

CDC has a CUID that is unique to each aliquot; they also have a primary ID.

The note about the unique specimenID relates to the physical specimen - each specimen must have its own ID, but the specimen can be used in more than one test. - Freida withdraws comment

LRI#62: Unless we harmonize between the elements in LOI\_PH and LRI\_PH theses are separate - may be good to actually align those, if at all possible

Motion to find persuasive with mod - remove LAB\_FI and LAB\_PR from this diagram and change LAB\_PH to LRI\_PH - make sure we review the text around the figure to reference the correct number of pictured profile components - For diagram in LOI Change LAB\_FI to LOI\_FI. – Craig Newman, Andrea Pitkus, no further discussion, against:0, abstain:0, in favor: 5

LRI#80: Use of OBX after SPM: Agree with commenter - this should be used for communicating specimen processing information, any information about the specimen that may impact interpretation of testing etc - that would apply to ALL use cases, not just PH; but we currently have it listed as optional for all, except PH – other issues to report there could be observed freeze-thaw cycles for specimen that shodl have remained frozen, number of culture transitions and other issues that can affect specimen quality, deending on the test to be performed - this might be needed on orders coming in as well – but here we are looking at LRI – issues have to be communicated back to provider – may be collect more use cases and then see, if the current fields in SPM cover these sufficiently – for sure it is NOT a PH specific issue

**Proposed motion** to remove the section: "OBX Segment – The Observation related to Specimen is generally used to report additional characteristics related to the specimen. It is not used to report the results of the requested testing identified in OBR-4 (Universal Service ID). The observations associated with the specimen are typically information that the ordering provider sends with the order. The laboratory forwards that information as part of the result message. "

In addition change usage for OBX segment AFTER SPM to optional for all components

**NEXT CALL on LRI\_PH next week 5/25/2017 3 – 4 PM EDT**