**OO CRLOI-003**

Complete discussions for comment #91 – LOI-8, LOI- 23

Break each CS into 3 statements and point to the constituent parts of MSH-9:

Restate “LOI-8: MSH-9 (Message Type) SHALL contain the constant value ‘OML^O21^OML\_O21’”

as three distinct statements

LOI-8: MSH-9.1 SHALL contain the constant value "OML".

LOI-9: MSH-9.2 SHALL contain the constant value "O21".

LOI-10: MSH-9.3 SHALL contain the constant value "OML\_O21".

Also

LOI-23: MSH-9 (Message Type) SHALL contain the constant value ‘ACK^O21^ACK’.

as three distinct statements

LOI-23: MSH-9.1 SHALL contain the constant value "ACK".

LOI-24: MSH-9.2 SHALL contain the constant value "O21".

LOI-25: MSH-9.3 SHALL contain the constant value "ACK”

Discussion questions:

1. Is this necessary on any other fields/components?
2. Is this adequately covered by the original conformance statement(s)?
3. Do CSs have to have a 1-1 correspondence to test actions?

December 10, 2013

Wait until Rob Snelick and Caroline Rosin can join call and discuss.

December 17, 2013

HARMONIZATION: General Lab IG principal: Conformance Statements **SHOULD** be written to the most atomic level; initially apply to LOI-8 and LOI-23, take up others as they come up (e.g., LRI-46) – Rob Snelick, Freida Hall

Against: 0 Abstain: 0 For: 9