



HL7 EHR Work Group (EHR-WG)

by Stephen Hufnagel, Tiag subcontractor to Edmund-Scientific VA support-contract Shufnagel@tiag.net, 703-575-7912



## **Contents** EHR-S FIM Release-3:2016 Preparation FY2014Q1-Prototype Report



- Introduction and Plan of Actions & Milestones
- Executive Summary, Reference-Model and Conceptual-Architecture
- CP.6.2 Immunization-Management Modeling-Prototype
- RI.1.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry Modeling-Prototype
- 5. EHR-S FIM use of FHIR for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- EHR-S FIM use of FHIM for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- Traceability

The complete-and-current HL7 <u>EHR-System Function-and-Information Model Release-3</u> Development-Summary Presentation, dated November-2013 is available at http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=EHR\_Interoperability\_WG



## EHR Work Group Goal & Objectives

- <u>Electronic Health Record (EHR) Work Group's</u> **goal** is to support the HL7 mission of developing standards for EHR data, information, functionality, and interoperability.
  - Functional and Information Requirements for Electronic Health Records (EHR) and systems (EHRS),
  - Functional and Information Requirements for Personal Health Records (PHR) and systems (PHRS),
- EHR Interoperability WG's objectives are
  - 1. to create a clear, complete, concise, correct and consistent EHR-S FIM r3.0 in the Sparx Systems Enterprise Architect (EA) tool; where, it addresses the issues identified by the VA negative r2.0 ballot.
  - 2. to produce a Meaningful Use profile for r2.0.
- Resource Management Evidentiary Support (RM-ES) project's **objective** is to provide expertise on records management, compliance, and data/record integrity and governance to support the use of medical records for clinical care and decision-making, business, legal and disclosure purposes.
- EHR Usability WG's objective is developing a usability profile for the EHR-S FM
- PHR-S WG's objective is to maintain a Patient Healthcare System Functional Model (PHR-S FM).

### EHR WG



Schedule: <a href="http://www.hl7.org/concalls/default.aspx">http://www.hl7.org/concalls/default.aspx</a>

List Server: <a href="http://www.hl7.org/myhl7/managelistservs.cfm">http://www.hl7.org/myhl7/managelistservs.cfm</a>

| Meeting   | Time (ET)  | Relevance   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| EHR-S FM<br>Plenary<br>770-657-9270, PC 510269#                       | Every Tuesday 3:00 PM Eastern <u>LiveMeeting</u> <a href="https://www.livemeeting.com/cc/cdc/join?id=K3J84M&amp;role=attend">https://www.livemeeting.com/cc/cdc/join?id=K3J84M&amp;role=attend</a> | EHR Strategy, liaison with other WGs, ballot reconciliation etc.        |  |
| EHR Interoperability EHR-S FIM r3.0 770-657-9270, PC 510269#          | Every Tuesday 1:00 PM Eastern <u>GoTo Meeting</u> <a href="https://www3.gotomeeting.com/join/798931918">https://www3.gotomeeting.com/join/798931918</a>  | Directly addressing<br>EHR-S r2.0 Interoperability<br>concern-and-needs |  |
| EHR Interoperability Meaningful-Use 770-657-9270, PC 510269#          | Every Tuesday 2:00 PM Eastern <u>GoTo Meeting</u> <a href="https://www3.gotomeeting.com/join/798931918">https://www3.gotomeeting.com/join/798931918</a>  | Directly address ARRA MU2 concern-and-needs                             |  |
| Resource Management<br>and Evidentiary Support<br>Phone: 650-479-3208 | Every Monday 12:00 Noon Eastern  WebEx Code: 923-467-215, PC1519  https://ahima.wex.com/ahima/j.php?ED=227980377&UI  D=0&PW=NY2MwOGY1Njl3&RT=MiM3  | Directly addressing<br>EHR-S r2.0 RMES<br>concerns-and-needs            |  |
| PHR-S<br>Usability<br>770-657-9270, PC 510269#                        | Every Wednesday 12:00 Noon Eastern Every Wednesday 1:00 PM Eastern   | Blue-Button Usability concerns-and-needs                                |  |

## **EHR-S FIM Acronyms**


| • | aka<br>CC | also known as EHR-S FIM Conformance Criteria |
|---|-----------|--|
| • | CDA       | Clinical Document Architecture               |
| • | DD        | Data Dictionary                              |
| • | CIM       | Conceptual Information Model                 |
| • | CP        | Care Provision                               |
| • | CPS       | Care Provisioning Support                    |
| • | EA        | Enterprise Architect                         |
| • | EHR-S     | EHR System                                   |
| • | _         | MEHR-S Function and Information Model        |
| • | FHA       | US Federal Health Architecture               |
| • | FHIM      | US Federal Health Information Model          |
| • | FHIR      | Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources   |
| • | FIM       | EHR-S Function and Information Model         |
| • | FIM(MU)   | EHR-S FIM profile for MU                     |
| • | FM        | Function Model                               |
| • | FY        | Fiscal Year                                  |
| • | IM        | Information Model                            |
| • | MDHT      | Model Driven Health Tools                    |
| • | MU        | US Meaningful Use objectives-and-criteria    |
| • | ONC       | US Office of the National-Coordinator        |
| • | OHT       | Open Health Tools                            |
| • | POA&M     | Plan of Actions and Milestones               |
| • | R 2/3     | Release 2 or 3                               |
| • | RI        | Resource Infrastructure                      |
| • | RIM       | HL7 Reference Information Model              |
| • | S&I       | ONC Standards & Interoperability Framework   |
| • | WBS       | Work Breakdown Structure                     |
| • | WG        | Work Group                                   |
|   |           |  |

### **EHR-S FIM Legend**





Dependency is a model-element relationship between a Dependent-Client ---> Independent-Supplier (e.g. sales cart --> product producer, client sends a message to a supplier). A



### Plan of Actions and Milestones FY2014Q1 POA&M EHR-S FIM Release-3:2016 Preparation



| Oc  | Completed  |             |  |  |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|
| •   | Prototype CP.6.2 Immunization Management                                     | 22-Oct-13   |  |  |
| •   | Prototype RI.1.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry                         | 29-Oct-13   |  |  |
| November 2013 (Prototype complete process-and-products) |  |             |  |  |
| •   | Prototype FHIR integration (Allergies, Intolerance & Adverse Reaction)       | 5-Nov-13    |  |  |
| •   | Prototype FHIM integration (Allergies, Intolerance & Adverse Reaction)       | 8-Nov-13    |  |  |
| •   | Define EHR-S Reference-Model and Conceptual-Architecture                     | 15-Nov-13   |  |  |
| •   | Prototype Use-Case generation of Immunization Interoperability-Specification | in-progress |  |  |
| •   | Harmonize with ISO/EN 13940 Continuity-of-Care System-of-Concepts            | pending     |  |  |
| •   | Harmonize with Electronic Health Record Communication (ISO/EN 13606)         |             |  |  |
| •   | Prototype EHR-S FIM Ballot Production process-and-products for prototype     |             |  |  |

#### **December 2013** (Develop production WBS and POA&M)

- Create Release 3 Work-Break-Down Structure (WBS) & POA&M
- Setup EA tool with finalized Release 2, after ISO ballot reconciliation

#### January 2014 – 2016 (Approve & Execute Plan)

- Jan 2013: Present Prototype, WBS & POA&M at HL7 WG meeting; then, execute POA&M.
- Establish public <u>www.EHR-S-FIM.org</u> website to get broad peer-review

## **Contents** EHR-S FIM Release-3:2016 Preparation FY2014Q1-Prototype Report



- Introduction and Plan of Actions & Milestones
- **Executive Summary, Reference-Model and Conceptual-Architecture**
- CP.6.2 Immunization-Management Modeling-Prototype
- RI.1.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry Modeling-Prototype
- 5. EHR-S FIM use of FHIR for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- EHR-S FIM use of FHIM for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- Traceability

### **Executive Summary EHR-S FIM r3:2016**



This executive-summary specifically addresses potential work-group impacts and/or trends, which are important for VA, IPO and DOD awareness.

#### **EHR System Function-and-Information Model (EHR-S FIM)**

- Structured, based-on a fully-specified Reference Model (RM) for
  - Clear, complete, concise, correct, consistent and intuitive ease-of-use;
  - Sparx Enterprise Architect (EA) UML-model tool-based; where, release 3 (r3)
    - manages user-activities, system-functions. business-rules, interoperable-data separately; and,
    - Consistent-global Conformance Criteria (CCs) replace ad-hoc-local r2 CCs
    - Single Infrastructure-section contains previously-separate r2 Record-and-Trust Infrastructure-sections
- EA Tool-generated Interoperability-Specifications based-on Use-Cases
  - Use-Cases come-from HITSP & S&I Framework Use-Case Simplification work linked-to
  - Requirements, which come-from EHR-S r2.0 Functions' and their restructured CCs linked-to
  - International Interoperability-Specifications based-on HL7 FHIR (Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources)
  - US-Realm Interoperability-Specifications based-on FHAFHIM (Federal Health Information Model)

# Interim Conclusions and Recommendations EHR-S FIM r3:2016



- 1. EHR-S FIM vision is to become the <u>"Easy Button" for EHR Interoperability Specifications</u>
  - a. Easily-customizable to user-specific profiles.
  - b. Including a US-Realm Meaningful Use (MU) & FHIM profile
  - c. EHR-S FIM r3:2016 within Sparx EA represents a powerful HL7 product; where,
    - i. EA integrates FHIR, FHIM and S&I Framework's Use-Case Simplification, and
    - ii. The EA tool-based EHR-S FIM is Governed and Configuration Managed consistently.
    - iii. The EA tool can generate both a navigable-web-site and printable-report
    - iv. Support user-specific profiles (e.g., WG project DAMs, DIMs, DCMs).
- 2. HL7.org/EHRSFIM web-site should be setup-and-managed by the EHR Interoperability WG
  - a. Supporting peer review, trial-use and stakeholder-contribution during FY14- Alpha & FY15-Beta development.
- 3. EHR-S FIM development, tooling and balloting resources = (estimated) 5-FTE Man-years
  - a. A marketing campaign is needed to justify EHR-S FIM r3:2016 resources



# EHR-S FIM Reference Model Definition

The EHR-S reference model (RM) is an abstract-framework for structuring significant-relationships among the entities of EHR-S environments basedon consistent EHR-S function-and-information conceptual models; where, EHR-S RM conformance criteria contain a constrained-lexicon of nouns (entities), verbs (operations/tasks), qualifiers (conditions), constraints (policies/rules), which may be used-as requirements-specifications by analysts, developers, implementers, and testers. The EHR-S or PHR-S RMinstance-models provide a common syntax-and-semantics that can be used unambiguously across-and-between different implementations; where, the may be linked-to specific-implementation standards-RM instances technologies-paradigms-or-patterns. [based-on OASIS RM definition]

According to the Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards (OASIS) a reference model is "an abstract framework for
understanding significant relationships among the entities of some environment, and for the development of consistent standards or specifications
supporting that environment. A reference model is based on a small number of unifying concepts and may be used as a basis for education and
explaining standards to a non-specialist. A reference model is not directly tied to any standards, technologies or other concrete implementation
details, but it does seek to provide a common semantics that can be used unambiguously across and between different implementations."

#### **Care Provision**

- 1. CP.1 Manage Clinical History
- 2. CP.2 Render Externally Sourced Information
- 3. CP.3 Manage Clinical Documentation
- 4. CP.4 Manage Orders
- 5. CP.5 Manage Results
- 6. CP.6 Manage Treatment Administration
- 7. CP.7 Manage Future Care
- 8. CP.8 Manage Patient Education & Communication
- 9. CP.9 Manage Care Coordination & Reporting

#### **Care Provision Support**

- 1. CPS.1 Record Management
- 2. CPS.2 Support Externally Sourced Information
- 3. CPS.3 Support Clinical Documentation
- 4. CPS.4 Support Orders
- 5. CPS.5 Support for Results
- 6. CPS.6 Support Treatment Administration
- 7. CPS.7 Support Future Care
- 8. CPS.8 Support Patient Education & Communication
- 9. CPS.9 Support Care Coordination & Reporting

#### **Trust Infrastructure**

- 1. TI.1 Security
- 2. TI.2 Audit
- 3. TI.3 Registry and Directory Services
- 4. TI.4 Standard Terminologyand Terminology Services
- 5. TI.5 Standards-Based Interoperability
- 6. TI.6 Business Rules Management
- 7. TI.7 Workflow Management
- 8. TI.8 Database Backup and Recovery
- 9. TI.9 System Management Operations and Performance

# EHR-S FM r2.0:2013 Dimensions and Stakeholders

#### **Population Health Support**

- 1. POP.1 Support for Health Maintenance, Preventive Care and Wellness
- 2. POP.2 Support for Epidemiological Investigations of Clinical Health Within a Population
- 3. POP.3 Support for Notification and Response
- 4. POP.4 Support for Monitoring Response Notifications Regarding a Specific Patient's Health
- 5. POP.5 Donor Management Support
- 6. POP.6 Measurement, Analysis, Research and Reports
- 7. POP.7 Public Health Related Updates
- 8. POP.8 De-Identified Data Request Management
- 9. POP.9 Support Consistent Healthcare Management of Patient Groups or Populations
- 10.POP.10 Manage Population Health Study-Related Identifiers

#### Administration Support

- 1. AS.1 Manage Provider Information
- 2. AS.2 Manage Patient Demographics, Location and Synchronization
- 3. AS.3 Manage Personal Health Record Interaction
- 4. AS.4 Manage Communication
- 5. AS.5 Manage Clinical Workflow Tasking
- 6. AS.6 Manage Resource Availability
- 7. AS.7 Support Encounter/Episode of Care Management
- 8. AS.8 Manage Information Access for Supplemental Use
- 9. AS.9 Manage Administrative Transaction Processing

#### **Record Infrastructure**

- 1. RI.1 Record Lifecycle and Lifespan
- 2. RI.2 Record Synchronization

Blue-Bold indicates Prototype Inclusion

3 RI3 Record Archive and Restore

 $\perp$ 



## Proposed Restructuring Strategy EHR-S FIM r3.0: 2016

# Release 3.0:2016 – focus on usability and efficiency

Restructure model to make it more intuitive

- 1. Direct Care
  - 1. Order Entry/Mgmt./CPOE
  - 2. Results
  - 3. Care/Treatment Administration
  - 4. Decision Support
- 2. Supportive Care
  - 1. Administrative Processes
  - 2. Patient Support/Education
  - 3. Health Information-and-Data
  - 4. Reporting & PopHealth Mgmt.

- 3. <u>Infrastructure (EHR System)</u>
  - 1. Event and metadata Management
  - 2. Records Management
  - 3. Trust Management
  - 4. List Management
  - 5. Document manager
  - 6. Registry manager
  - 7. Repository manager
  - 8. Communication and Connectivity Management



# EHR-S FIM Proposed Conformance-Criteria RM

#### System

- EHR or PHR

#### Applicability (SHALL, SHOULD or MAY)

- according to
  - Scope of practice,
  - Organizational policy,
  - Jurisdictional law,
  - Patient preference or consent."

#### Human Action

- Linked-to Use-Case Actions
- such as Immunization Administration

#### System Function Constraints

- Invariant-conditions (e.g., context)
- Pre-conditions (e.g., triggers)
- Post-conditions (e.g., goal, outcomes)

#### System Function Type

- System provides the ability (for a human) to
- Or the system directly does

#### System Function

 EHR Verb Hierarchy of what the system does, such as manage, maintain, ...

#### Data Requirements

- Linked-to International FHIR specifications
- Linked-to US RealmFHIM specifications

#### Associations & Dependencies

Supporting capabilities and functions



## EHR-S RM Conformance-Criteria Example

CP.6.2#01 The EHR system SHALL provide the ability to *capture* Immunization Administration details as discrete data, such as Immunization FHIR; where, the Immunization resource is associated with the following resources:

- AdverseReaction
- Patient
- Practitioner
- Organization
- Location
- Observation;


And, within the US Realm, the Immunization and associated resources are expressable by the applicable FHIM Domains of:

- Immunization, Adverse Reaction, Allergy and Intolerance
- Associated with appropriate FHIM classes (e.g., Person, ...)



# **EHR-S RM Proposed Information-Architecture**

by Stephen Hufnagel PhD; where, **RIM** is the HL7 reference Information Model, **FHIR** is Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resource



# ■ EHR-S FIM Anatomy (Structure) Conceptual Information-Model (Level 2)




class EHR-S FIM Conceptual Information Model (2 Levels) other EHR or EHR-S FIM Conceptual Information Model (2 Levels) Name: related systems Steve Hufnagel Author: Version: Prototype **EHR-S** 11/20/2013 1:34:53 PM 11/21/2013 4:48:41 AM Registry (Public **EMR Document or Note** Manager {operations} {Patient} Health) {Operation} {List} {Immunization} List **Care Coordination Data Care Plan Care Record Problems & Concerns** Event {Order} {Observation} {Treatment} Encounter Person **Record Entry** → is-a **Order Signature Treatment** Patient Provider **Observation** 

# ■ EHR-S FIM Anatomy (Structure) Conceptual Information-Model (Level 4)



ISSUE: Gora suggests only using aggregation to make the diagram more intuitive




#### EHR-S-FIM

## **Use-Case (Clinicians' Perspective)**

CP.6.2 Immunization Management Conformance Criteria

uc EHR-S FIM CP.6.2 Immunization Management (Clinician View)





# ■ EHR-S FIM Anatomy (Structure) Conceptual Operations (Managers) Model



ISSUE: Consistency of EHR-S Managers (Verb-Hierarchy) & Record Lifecycle Events.









# EHR-S FIM Based on Conceptual Information-and-Operations Models


#### **Resultant EHR-S Description (Notional Scenario)**

An **EHR system** is composed of a set of EMRs with associated Documents or Notes and their Managers

- Where, each patient's **EMR** contains Care-Coordination-Data **Lists** (aka histories) of
  - Treatments (e.g., immunizations),
  - Observations (e.g., allergy-intolerance-and-adverse-reactions), Orders-and-Results and/or
  - Care-Plans (e.g., immunization schedule)
- Where, the EHR-S lists are composed-of Record-Entries of type
  - Order, Treatment or Observation
  - Which may be associated as encounter-Events, which have provider and/or patient Signatures
- Where, the EHR-S Managers perform operations
  - Internally on the lists, record-entries or documents and
  - Externally with federated-data Registries-and/or-Repositories and Ancillary-Service Systems.

### Example CIM Linkage-to FHIR & FHIM for Allergy, Intolerance & Adverse-Reaction







## Interim Conclusions EHR-S FIM r3.0:2016

- We have looked at Medication-and-Immunization Management, Orders-and-Results Management and Record Entry Management.
- The <u>EHR-S RM (reference model)</u> is used to structure EHR-S functions-and-data; where, the function's conformance-criteria lexicon defines the grammar of nouns (entities), verbs (record-entry actions) and constraints (conditions).
- The EHR-S <u>Conceptual Information Model (CIM)</u> and <u>Conceptual Operations Model (COM)</u> for CP.6.2 Immunization Management should generally-be-applicable for all of the Care Provisioning (CP) section of the EHR-S FM; where,
  - minor CIM modifications will likely occur as we analyze the rest of the CP section; but,
  - major COM components still must be substantially developed based-on the Record-Infrastructure and Trust-Infrastructure sections.



## EHR-S FIM Release-3:2016 Preparation **FY2014Q1-Prototype Report-Contents**


- Introduction and Plan of Actions & Milestones
- Executive Summary, Reference-Model and Conceptual-Architecture
- **CP.6.2 Immunization-Management Modeling-Prototype**
- RI.1.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry Modeling-Prototype
- EHR-S FIM use of FHIR for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction 5.
- EHR-S FIM use of FHIM for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- Traceability

# **EHR-S FIM CP.6.2 Immunization Management Use-Case Description (Notional Scenario)**

- A <u>Clinician</u> manages the EHR-S to
  - reviews the patient's <u>EMR</u> for <u>Allergies and Intolerances</u>, <u>Immunization-Schedule</u>, and <u>Patient Directives</u>
  - treats (immunizes) the Patient with a Vaccine and
  - observes Adverse-Reactions.
  - documents the encounter information.
- The EHR-S Immunization related manager(s) can
  - Capture, Auto-populate, Maintain, Render, Transmit, Exchange,
  - Harmonize, Update, or Determine
- The following data-modules:
  - <u>Immunization-Administrations</u>, <u>Allergies</u>, <u>Intolerances</u>, <u>Adverse-Events</u>
  - Events, Schedules, Plans and Educational Materials



# EHR-S-FIM Dependencies CP.6.2 Immunization Management



#### EHR-S-FIM

# **Use-Case Traceability Analysis**

CP.6.2 Immunization Management Conformance Criteria

EHR-S FIM CP.6.2 Immunization Management COPY interact with GUI **CP.6.2 Manage Immunization CP.6.2 Conformance CP.6.2 Care Coordination Data Administration Actions** Criteria {Immunization} Registry (Public transmit List **EMR** manage EHR-S Health) i, depends on Patient CP.1.6 Manage Problems & Immunization List CP.6.2#13 Concerns {Observation} exchange CP.6.2#12 observe Patient Immunization **Care Record** History CP.6.2#11 {Treatment} maintain Immunization CP.6.2#14 **Care Plan** Schedule determine {Order} Immunization Administration Signature Record Entry treat Patient 0..\* CP.6.2#03 **EHR-S Manager** CP.1.2 Manage **Clinicia**n Medication Encounter auto-populate Administration CP.6.2#06 /i\ depends on Allergy, Intolerance and CP.6.2#02 harmonize Adverse Reaction **Treatment** CP.6.2#04 Patient Clinical **Business Rules** Observation capture Measurements constrain the EHR-S CP 6 2#0 actions, which may be performed by Clinicians, Patient or CP.3.2 Manage Patient manage write Orders Order designated Agent. Clinical Measurements SHALL, SHOULD or MAY applicability is "according to scope-of-practice, organizational-policy, jurisdictional-law, patient preference-or-consent."

# ■ EHR-S-FIM Anatomy (Structure) Conceptual Information Model (CIM) CP.6.2 Immunization Management



class EHR-S FIM CP.6.2 Immunization Management (Conceptual Model) Name: EHR-S FIM CP.6.2 Immunization Management (Conceptual Model) Registry (Public **EMR** Author: Steve Hufnagel Health) Version: Prototype 11/3/2013 4:34:29 AM Created: Updated: 11/21/2013 7:47:47 AM {List} {Immunization} List **Care Coordination Data** Immunization **Order Set Care Record** Problems & **Care Plan** History Concerns Immunization {Order} {Treatment} {Observation} Schedule Encounter 0..\* **Record Entry** Event Person is-a **Treatment** Observation Order **Provider Patient** Medication Patient Clinical Order for Administration Measurements Medication Immunization Allergy, Intolerance and Order for

**Adverse Reaction** 


Immunization

**Administration Record** 




# **EHR-S-FIM Traceability Model** CP.6.2 Immunization Management

class EHR-S FIM CP.6.2 Immunization Management (Conceptual Traceability Model)






# EHR-S FIM Logical Traceability-Model CP.6.2 Immunization Management





# EHR-S FIM Logical Traceability-Model CP.6.2 Immunization Management



# HZ.

# EHR-S-FIM Conformance Criteria (CCs) CP.6.2 Immunization Management

- 1. The system **SHALL** provide the ability to capture, maintain and render immunization administration details as discrete data, including:(1) the immunization name/type, strength and dose;(2) date and time of administration;(3) manufacturer, lot number, expiration date,(4) route and site of administration;(5) administering provider;(6) observations, reactions and complications;(7) reason immunization not given and/or immunization related activity not performed; according to scope of practice, organizational policy and/or jurisdictional law."
- 2. The system MAY *auto-populate the immunization administration record* as a by-product of verification of administering provider, patient, medication, dose, route and time according to scope of practice, organizational policy and/or jurisdictional law.
- 3. The system **SHALL** provide the ability to *determine and render required immunizations*, and when they are due, based on widely accepted immunization schedules, when rendering encounter information.
- 4. The system SHOULD provide the ability to capture, in a discrete field, an allergy/adverse reaction to a specific immunization.
- 5. The system **SHALL** conform to function CP.3.2 (Manage Patient Clinical Measurements) to capture other clinical data pertinent to the immunization administration (e.g., vital signs).
- 6. The system SHOULD provide the ability to link standard codes (e.g. NDC, LOINC, SNOMED or CPT) with discrete data elements associated with an immunization.
- 7. The system **SHALL** provide the ability to *maintain the immunization schedule*.
- 8. The system **SHALL** provide the ability to render a patient's immunization history upon request for appropriate authorities such as schools or day-care centers.
- 9. The system **SHALL** conform to function CP.1.2 (Manage Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse Reaction List).
  - 10. The system SHOULD transmit required immunization administration information to a public health immunization registry according to scope of practice, organizational policy and/or jurisdictional law.
  - 11. The system SHOULD exchange immunization histories with public health immunization registries according to scope of practice, organizational policy and/or jurisdictional law.

# EHR-S-FIM Conformance Criteria (CCs)



# CP.6.2 Immunization Management

**ISSUE**: Consistency of Conformance Criteria (CC) across related functions, such as Medication-and-Immunization and Orders-and-Results Management.

- 12. The system SHOULD harmonize Immunization histories with a public health immunization registry according to scope of practice, organizational policy and/or jurisdictional law.
- 13. The system SHOULD capture and render immunization histories from a public health immunization registry.
- 14. The system SHALL conform to function CP.1.6 (Manage Immunization List).
- 15. The system SHOULD provide the ability to update immunization histories at the time of capturing an immunization administration.
- 16. The system **SHALL** provide the ability to render the immunization order as written (i.e., exact clinician order language) when rendering administration information.
- 17. "The system **SHALL** provide the ability to determine due and overdue ordered immunizations and render a notification."
- 18. The system **SHALL** provide the ability to render a patient educational information regarding the administration (e.g., Vaccine Information Statement (**VIS**)).
- 19. The system **SHALL** provide the ability to capture that patient educational information (e.g., VIS) was provided at the time of immunization administration.
- 20. The system **SHALL** provide the ability to capture documentation that patient educational information (e.g., VIS) was provided at the time of immunization administration.
- 21. The system **SHALL** provide the ability to capture the receiving entity (e.g., patient, representative, organization) when patient education information is provided at the time of immunization administration.
- 22. The system SHOULD provide the ability to capture and maintain immunization refusal reasons as discrete data.
  - 23. The system SHOULD provide the ability to capture patient preferences regarding receipt of immunization (e.g. refusal of certain vaccine types) at time of immunization administration.





- Based on the Medication Management, Orders Management and Immunization Management functions, we see
  - A high-level EHR-S Information Model emerging as a set of
    - Patients, Providers, External Partners, Encounters, EMRs, Care Plans, Lists, Managers, Documents and Notes;
  - A high-level EHR-S Manager Model is emerging to
    - Capture, Auto-populate, Maintain, Render, Transmit, Exchange, Harmonize, Update, Determine

## **Contents** EHR-S FIM Release-3:2016 Preparation FY2014Q1-Prototype Report




- Introduction and Plan of Actions & Milestones
- Executive Summary, Reference-Model and Conceptual-Architecture
- CP.6.2 Immunization-Management Modeling-Prototype
- RI.1.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry Modeling-Prototype
- 5. EHR-S FIM use of FHIR for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- EHR-S FIM use of FHIM for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- Traceability

The complete-and-current HL7 <u>EHR-System Function-and-Information Model Release-3</u> Development-Summary Presentation, dated November-2013 is available at http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=EHR\_Interoperability\_WG 35










### EHR-S FIM **Traceability View** RI.1.1.1 Originate-and-Retain Record Entry



## Conformance Criteria (CC) RI.1.1.1 Originate-and-Retain Record-Entry

- 1. RI.1.1.#01 The system **SHALL** provide the ability to capture (originate) a Record Entry instance corresponding to an Action instance and context.
- 2. RI.1.1.1#02 The system **SHALL** capture a unique instance identifier for each Record Entry.
- 3. RI.1.1.1#03 The system **SHALL** conform to <u>function TI.2.1.1.1</u> (Originate/Retain Record Entry Audit Trigger), including specified metadata.
- 4. RI.1.1.1#04 The system **SHALL** capture the signature event (e.g., digital signature) of the origination entry Author, binding signature to Record Entry content.
- 5. RI.1.1.1#05 The system **SHALL** provide the ability to capture both structured and unstructured content in Record Entries.
- 6. RI.1.1.1#06 The system **SHALL** provide the ability to capture Record Entries from information recorded during system downtime.
- 7. RI.1.1.1#07 The system SHOULD provide the ability to integrate Record Entries from Information recorded during system downtime.
- 8. RI.1.1.1#08 The system **SHALL** provide the ability to capture date/time an Action was taken or data was collected if different than date/time of the Record Entry.
- 9. RI.1.1.1#09 The system SHOULD capture metadata that identifies the source of non-originated Record Entry (e.g., templated, copied, duplicated, or boilerplate information).
- 10. RI.1.1.1#10 The system MAY provide the ability to tag unstructured Record Entry content to organize it according to need, for example, in a time-related fashion or by application-specific groups (such as photographs, handwritten notes, or auditory sounds)
- 11. RI.1.1.#11 The system MAY capture and maintain a Record Entry encoded as a standards-based data object (e.g., HL7 Continuity of Care or other HL7 CDA R2 Document).
- 12. RI.1.1.1#12 The system MAY capture and maintain a standards-based data object to mirror (be duplicate and synchronous with) internal Record Entry representation.


## EHR-S FIM Logical View



### RI.1.1.1 Originate-and-Retain Record Entry

class RI.1.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry (Logical View)

Entries



+ manage()

depends on

+ Record Entry :link\* {bag}

39

## EHR-S FIM RI.1.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry

#### **Resultant Description (Notional Scenario)**

- The EHR-S <u>Record-Entry</u> manager can
  - Capture, Create, Copy, Record, Transcribe, Identify,
  - Link, Tag, Encode, Mirror, and Integrate
- Record-Entries as
  - structured or unstructured-data link-to associated
    - Event-Metadata and Signatures.

# Interim Conclusion EHR-S FIM RI.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry

we have only looked at the RI.1.1.1 function; yet,

- we see that the emergence of common <u>Record-Entries</u>, <u>Events</u>, <u>Record Entries</u> and a <u>Record Entry Manager</u>
- which can Capture, Create, Copy, Record, Transcribe, Identify, Link, Tag, Encode, Mirror, Integrate
  - structured-data or unstructured-data and link-to
  - associated <u>Event-Metadata</u> and <u>Signature</u>.

### **Contents** EHR-S FIM Release-3:2016 Preparation FY2014Q1-Prototype Report



- Introduction and Plan of Actions & Milestones
- Executive Summary, Reference-Model and Conceptual-Architecture
- CP.6.2 Immunization-Management Modeling-Prototype
- RI.1.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry Modeling-Prototype
- **5**. EHR-S FIM use of FHIR for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- EHR-S FIM use of FHIM for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- Traceability

The complete-and-current HL7 <u>EHR-System Function-and-Information Model Release-3</u> Development-Summary Presentation, dated November-2013 is available at http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=EHR\_Interoperability\_WG 42



### **EHR-S FIM Using FHIR**

**ISSUE**: EHR-S FM r2.0 Implied Information Model is Ad-Hoc; where, FHIR & FHIM Information Model & Data Dictionary are Configuration Managed.

#### FHIR Administrative

- Attribution: Patient, RelatedPerson, Practitioner, Organization
- Resources: Device, Location, Substance, Group
- Workflow Management: Encounter, Alert, Supply, Order, OrderResponse
- Financial: Coverage

#### FHIR Clinical


- General: AdverseReaction, AllergyIntolerance, CarePlan, FamilyHistory, Condition, Procedure, Questionnaire
- Medications: Medication, MedicationPrescription, MedicationAdministration, MedicationDispense,
   MedicationStatement, Immunization, ImmunizationProfile
- Diagnostic: Observation, DiagnosticReport, DiagnosticOrder, ImagingStudy, Specimen
- Device Interaction: DeviceCapabilities, DeviceLog, DeviceObservation

#### FHIR Infrastructure

- Support: List, Media, Other, DocumentReference, (Binary)
- Audit: Provenance, SecurityEvent
- Exchange: Document, Message, OperationOutcome, Query
- Conformance: Conformance, ValueSet, Profile

### EHR-S FIM Prototype Allergy, Intolerance & Adverse-Reaction FIM-FHIR-FHIM Requirements-Specifications

ISSUE: Should we map at Data Module Level or Conformance Criteria level? [Gary]





# Prototype Allergy, Intolerance & Adverse-Reaction FHIR Design-Specification

class FHIR Specification for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse Reaction  $\,$ 

Name: FHIR Specification for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse Reaction

realize


Author: Steve Hufnagel

Version: Prototype

Created: 11/5/2013 4:25:17 AM Updated: 11/8/2013 4:49:33 PM

# «EHR-S FIM» Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse Reaction + data of review + Patient :link\* + reaction type + severity + type + source + manage()

# #FHIR% AllergyIntolerance + identifier :Identifier [0..1] + criticality :code [0..1] + sensitivityType :code + recordedDate :dateTime [0..1] + status :code + subject :Resource(Patient) + recorder :Resource(Practitioner|Patient) + substance :Resource(Substance)\* + reaction :Resource(AdverseReaction)\* [0..1] + sensitivityTest :Resource(Observation)\* [0..1]



exposure.substance :Resource(Substance)\* [0..1] AllergyIntolerance.sensitivityType :code

recordedDate :dateTime [0..1]

recorder :Resource(Practitioner|Patient) substance :Resource(Substance)\*

reaction :Resource(AdverseReaction)\* [0..1]

subject :Resource(Patient)

status :code

### **Contents** EHR-S FIM Release-3:2016 Preparation FY2014Q1-Prototype Report

- Introduction and Plan of Actions & Milestones
- Executive Summary, Reference-Model and Conceptual-Architecture
- CP.6.2 Immunization-Management Modeling-Prototype
- RI.1.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry Modeling-Prototype
- 5. EHR-S FIM use of FHIR for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- EHR-S FIM use of FHIM for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- Traceability

The complete-and-current HL7 <u>EHR-System Function-and-Information Model Release-3</u> Development-Summary Presentation, dated November-2013 is available at http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=EHR\_Interoperability\_WG 46


## **EHR-S FIM Using Federal Health Information Model (FHIM)**



http://www.fhims.org/content/420A62FD03B6\_root.html

FHA Federal Health Information Model (FHIM)


Unchanged from the VHIM



47




### Prototype Allergy, Intolerance & Adverse-Reaction FHIM High-Level US-Realm Specification




## Prototype FHIM-Detailed Allergy & Intolerance Specification







## Prototype FHIM Detailed Adverse-Reaction Specification



# Prototype Allergy, Intolerance & Adverse-Reaction FHIR & FHIM Design-Specifications



#### INTERIM CONCLUSION

EHR-S FIM, FHIR and FHIM complement each other; where,

- EHR-S FIM defines Requirements; where,
  - EHR-S FIM needs data-specifications and Dictionary and
  - FHIR & FHIM provide data-specifications and Dictionary
- FHIR defines the <u>International Data-Specifications ("The 80% set")</u>
- FHIM can define the <u>US-FHA FHIR-Profile</u>
- Joint Configuration Management is essential for FIM/FHIR/FHIM consistent
   A FIM-FHIR-FHIM populated UML-Tool (e.g., EA or RSA) can manage
- Requirements from EHR-S FIM
- International Data-Specifications from FHIR
- US-Realm Data-Specifications-Profile from FHIM

### **Contents** EHR-S FIM Release-3:2016 Preparation FY2014Q1-Prototype Report



- Introduction and Plan of Actions & Milestones
- Executive Summary, Reference-Model and Conceptual-Architecture
- CP.6.2 Immunization-Management Modeling-Prototype
- RI.1.1.1 Originate and Retain Record Entry Modeling-Prototype
- 5. EHR-S FIM use of FHIR for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- EHR-S FIM use of FHIM for Allergy, Intolerance and Adverse-Reaction
- **Traceability**

The complete-and-current HL7 <u>EHR-System Function-and-Information Model Release-3</u> Development-Summary Presentation, dated November-2013 is available at http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=EHR\_Interoperability\_WG 52



### EHR-S FIM Issue Traceability

**ISSUE**: EHR-S FM r2.0 traceability to UML Model Elements to EHR-S FIM r3.0, FHIR & FHIM

- Workbook 1: Class attributes & operations mapped-to EHR-S FM r2.0 Functions and LOCAL CCs
- Workbook 2 Class attributes & operations mapped to EHR-S FIM r3.0 Functions and GLOBAL CCs
- Workbook 3 EHR-S FM r2.0 Functions and CCs mapped-to EHR-S FIM r3.0 Functions and CCs
- Workbook 4 EHR-S FM r2.0 Functions and LOCAL Conformance Criteria (CC) listed out for linking
- Workbook 5 EHR-S FIM R3.0 Functions and UNIVERSALCC listed out for linking
- Workbook 6 EHR-S FIM UML-Model mapped-to FHIR
- Workbook 7 EHR-S FIM UML-Model mapped-to FHIM (Federal Health Information Model)
- Workbook 8 FHIR mapped-to FHIM (Federal Health Information Model)
- Workbook 9 Master Data Dictionary (DD) (If we use FHIR or FHIM, they already have a DD)
- ACTION: Use Sparx EA to implement t raceability.





**ISSUE**: traceability of CC Verb-Hierarchy vs. Record Lifecycle Events.

Manage (Data)

| Capture   | Maintain  |   |                      | Render  |                                    |             |   | Exchange   | Determine |  | Manage-<br>Data-<br>Visibility |
|---|---|---|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------|---|--|-----------|--|--------------------------------|
| Auto-<br>Populate<br>Enter<br>Import<br>Receive | Archive<br>Backup<br>Decrypt<br>Encrypt<br>Recover<br>Restore<br>Save | Annotate Attest Edit Harmonize Integrate Link Tag | Remove  Delete Purge | Extract Present  Record Entry Lifecycle In Type Enumeration  - originate and retain - amend - translate - attest - view/access - output/report - disclose - transmit - receive and retain - de-identify - pseudomynize - re-identify - extract - archive - restore (previously archive) - restore (previously archive) - destroy or identify mit - depreciate/retract - re-activate - merge - unmerge - link - unlink | Event                              | Transmit    | Export<br>Import<br>Receive<br>Transmit | Analyze  | Decide    | De-Identify<br>Hide<br>Mask<br>Re-Identify<br>Unhide<br>Unmask |                                |
|   |   |   |                      |   | ciate/retract<br>ivate<br>e<br>rge | missing<br> | are                                     | Record-Entry Lifecycle Events are located here for convenience; but, how do they correspond to |           |  |                                |

place on legal hold

release from legal hold

54

Verbs in the verbs hierarchy?.