**Orders & Observations Conference Call**

**11 March, 2016**

**+1 770 657 9270, Passcode: 398652#**

**WebURL:** [**https://join.me/vernetzt.us**](https://join.me/vernetzt.us)

**Attendees:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Organization |
| 1 | Riki Merrick | Vernetzt, LLC / APHL |
| 2 | Kathy Walsh | LabCorp |
| 3 | J D Nolen | Cerner |
| 4 | Wendy VerHoef | Samvit Solution |
| 5 | Mark Jones | Orchard Software |
| 6 | Andrea Pitkus | IMO |
| 7 | Ron van Duyne | CDC |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |

Regrets: Rob Hausam

**Co-Chair**: Riki Merrick

**Scribe:** Riki Merrick

Agenda/Minutes:

1. Agenda Review
2. Approve minutes:
   1. from February 19, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160219_ConCall.docx>
   2. from February 26, 216 – to be sent later today
   3. From March 4, 2016 – no quorum
3. Review the items currently identified as consider DAM changes and propose solutions:

Wendy added all the notes from the January 6th call – review that for proper application of mapping path

In BRIDG device covers software

Material plays the role of subject in BRIDG, a distinction the DAM does not make

Covers naturally occurring material as well as anything that is material produced by a process

Any attribute from material and product are inherited for container and storage equipment

Laboratory – could this correspond to the performer in DAM? Performer is a person, but we should add associated organization (either name and or identifier) as attribute to capture about the performer

Mark high level out of scope, but the Laboratory.identifier should be to be source change [0..1] – need to still come up with definitions

Plus add Performer.associatedOrganization.name (string) [0..1]

LaboratoryPerformingOrganization

MaterialPerformedProcess – in BRIDG the Material plays the role of the specimen, in DAM we don’t have that distinction – mark as implementation specific – (donated stem cells are covered but they are not specifically specimen, so BRIDG differentiates that) – in BRIDG is broader, so if you just consider the scope of DAM – mark as source change, since we have already decided to make that association 0..\* – association between SpecimenProcessingactivity and Specimen for materialProcessing when applicable to role of specimen

For donated materials you deal with implied relationship (blood banking, transplanting)

Storage Equipment:

Looking at the association between holder and storage equipment in DAM:

In BRIDG defines both directions of the association and have different labels

Specimen in container / container in holder / holder in storage equipment [0..1] – the current DAM descriptions seems to more describe, that holder is a superclass, which Storage equipment is a specialization of (not sure that is what is important to display in the model)

1. Compare Specimen DAM to biologic specimen model in BRIDG - map specification source is BRIDG and the map to is specimen – stored here:

<http://www.hl7.org/documentcenter/public/wg/bridg/BRIDG%20to%20Specimen%20DAM%20Mapping%20Spreadsheet%2020160226.xls>

* 1. Link to BRIDG model: <http://bridgmodel.nci.nih.gov/files/BRIDG_Model_4.0_html/index.htm> - chose VIEW:BSP - biospecimen
  2. Link to Specimen DAM: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=Specimen> – scroll to bottom for image

1. Next Steps:
   1. Compare Specimen DAM to biologic specimen model in BRIDG = Identify issues, if any find resolution – doing that on our calls
   2. IHE AP domain is working on structured AP report in CDA and has some requirements, we might not yet have considered – have added the concerns identified to the spreadsheet above - Identify issues, if any find resolution