

December 2013 EHR Work-Group (EHR WG) Cumulative FY14 Summary-Report

Last Updated on Dec 9, 2013 by SHufnagel@tiag.net, facilitator Edmond Scientific subcontractor to Veterans Health Administration/ Health Informatics/ Office of Informatics & Analytics/ Knowledge Based Systems The complete-and-latest version of the Summary-Report is available at: <u>http://wiki.hl7.org/images/0/0a/Hufnagel - FY2014 HL7-EHR-WG Summary-Report.pdf</u>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Release-3 EHR-S and PHR-S FIM

This executive-summary and report specifically address potential EHR impacts and/or EHR trends, which are important for the VA, IPO and DOD to-be aware-of.




Figure 1 HL7 EHR-S FIM release-3 Relationship with HL7 RIM-and-FHIR [Jan 2012 PSS #688]

INTRODUCTION: the *purpose* of this report is to document the EHR and PHR System release-3 Function–and-Information-Model (FIM) development and related projects impacting the release-3 FIM. An agile approach is being followed, where monthly report-content is refined; until ultimately, the report can be generated by the Sparx Enterprise Architect tool as a demonstration of the release-3 FIM "Easy-Button" Interoperability-Specification (IS) report-generation capability. All EHR WG release-3 FIM documentation published at http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?tite=EHR Interoperability WG are working-drafts.

LEGEND:

- 1) <u>Capitalized and Underlined</u> nouns-and-adjectives are <u>Record-Entry</u> data-types aka data-model, which should be in the EHR-S FM data dictionary; and, *italicized* verbs are *manage* sub-types aka verb-hierarchy. See <u>www.skmtglossary.org</u> for standard healthcare data-dictionary / glossary.
- 2) Blue-Bold words are recommended -additions to original text.
- 3) Red-Bold words are recommended-deletions from the original text.
- 4) Highlighted Yellow words are issues-Actions and/or important new material for the main EHR WG to-review.

GOAL: The *goal* of the <u>Electronic Health Record (EHR) Work Group (WG)</u> is to support the HL7 mission of developing standards for EHR data, information, functionality, and interoperability. The Work Group creates and promotes appropriate and necessary standards. EHR WG *objectives* include:

- 1) Functional-and-Information Requirements-Specifications for Electronic Health Records (EHR) and systems (EHR-S),
- 2) Functional-and-Information Requirements-Specifications for Personal Health Records (PHR) and systems (PHR-S),
- 3) Definition of a high-level framework to support the interoperability requirements-specifications and life cycles, and
- 4) Identification of existing and emerging information interoperability-requirements and related HL7 artifacts.
- A Jan 2012 Project #688 System Function-and-Information Model release-3 (EHR-S FIM r3) objective of the <u>EHR</u> <u>Interoperability WG</u> is an UML-specified EHR/PHR Concept-of-Operations (CONOPS), Reference Model (RM), setof Function Use-Cases with Conformance-Criteria Scenarios; where, EHR-S FIM r3 is to-be
 - o create a clear, complete, concise, correct, consistent and easy-to-use; because,
 - HL7 ballot-publishable from the Sparx Systems Enterprise-Architect tool
 - targeted for 3-to-5 years from now; because,
 - joint ISO-HL7 ballots are very challenging to manage and
 - sufficient-time is needed to address the structural issues identified by the EHR-S FM r2 ballot; where, VA voted negative, due to inconsistency, non-intuitiveness and unnecessary-complexity/non-usability.
- A second-objective of the EHR Interoperability WG is to produce a Meaningful Use profile for EHR-S FM r2 and r3.
- The objective of the <u>Resource Management Evidentiary Support (RM-ES) project team</u> is to provide expertise to the EHR work group, other standards groups and the healthcare industry on records management, compliance, and data/record integrity for, EHR systems and related to EHR governance to support the use of medical records for clinical care and decision-making, business, legal and disclosure purposes.
- The *objective* of the <u>EHR Usability Project</u> is to translate existing, well established usability guidelines and health information management principles into functional conformance-criteria in the EHR-S FM standard.

SITUATION Release-3 EHR-S and PHR-S FIM Preparation

The complete-and-latest version of the Summary-Report is available at:

http://wiki.hl7.org/images/0/0a/Hufnagel - FY2014 HL7-EHR-WG Summary-Report.pdf

EHR/PHR Concept-of-Operation was defined-and-refined into a System Reference-Model (RM); where,

- 1) System Functions are defined-by Use-Case lexicons-of system-operations bound-to System-entities; where,
 - a) System-operations are verbs refined into a "manage" operation-type-model (aka verb-hierarchy) and
 - b) System-entities are subject-and-object nouns refined into a <u>Record-Entry</u> data-type-model (aka information model)
 - c) Terminology value-sets are bound-to <u>Record-Entry</u> discrete-data-elements.
- 2) **Conformance Criteria** are defined-by a scenario-constrained use-case of
 - a) business-context and
 - b) subject-verb-object-terminology bindings; where,
- 3) Scenario-Constrained Business-Context is defined-by
 - a) pre-condition triggers,
 - b) applicability of
 - i) "The System SHOULD or SHALL or MAY"
 - ii) "provide-the-ability-to-manage Record-Entries" or "directly-manage Record-Entries," where,
 - (1) a use-case constrained verb-hierarchy applies and
 - (2) a use-case constrained data-model applies; where,
 - c) post-condition Business-Rules are "according-to
 - scope-of-practice, organizational-policy, jurisdictional-law, and patient-preferences."
- 4) Information-Exchanges are defined-by scenario subject-verb-object-terminology bindings mapped to
 - a) FHIR (Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resource), specifications which are representative of the International-Realm,
 - b) FHIM (Federal Health Information Model) US-Realm FHIR-profiles or alternative-realm FHIR-profiles,
 - c) Terminology value-sets, appropriate to the selected-realm,
 - d) IHE information-exchange behavioral-protocols, which may-be refined-or-replaced by,
 - SLA and DURSA (Service-level-agreement Data-Use-and-Reciprocal-Support-Agreement) and
 - **KPPs** (Key Performance Parameters).
 - Cost estimation factors
- 5) EHR-S/PHR-S Profile is defined-by a set-of System-Function Use-Cases, with further constrained scenario'
 - a) applicability
 - b) business-context
 - c) subject-verb-object-terminology bindings.
- 6) Interoperability-Specifications are generated with the FIM r3 "Easy-Button" aka reporting-tool.

ACTIONS

- 1. HL7 Board approval of EHR-S FIM Release-3 open-IP; where, the EHR-S FIM home page is www.hl7.org/EHRSFIM
- 2. **FHIR WG Coordination** to integrate EHR-S FIM-FHIR into a joint Sparx Enterprise Architect (EA) model; where, EA can generate integrated EHR-S FIM-FHIR International-Realm interoperability requirements-specifications
- 3. FHIM Team Coordination to integrate EHR-S FIM-FHIR-FHIM into a joint Sparx Enterprise Architect (EA) model; where EA can generate integrated EHR-S FIM-FHIR-FHIM US-Realm interoperability requirements-specifications
- 4. **Call-for-Participation** in EHR-S/PHR-S FIM r3 based on a common EHR-S/PHR-S RM (Reference Model), where, 6 Full Time Equivalent (FTE) level-of-effort is estimated (2-FTEs per-year for three-years) Calls every-Tuesday, 1PM ET, + 1-770-657-9270, PC 510269# and please joint EHR Interoperability ListServer

Release-3 EHR-S and PHR-S FIM Plan-of-Actions & Milestones Dashboard

Flair-Ul-AC			mesu	JIIC2	Dashboard
POA&M Task	#	Start	Done	POC	Comment
CONOPS		12-2013	12-2013	SH. GD	Potential for minor changes in the future
Reference Model		06-2013	12-2013	SH, GD	Potential for minor changes in the future
manage operation-type			05-2013	EHRWG	Verb-Hierarchy was part of r2 ballot
Record-Entry data-types		01-2012	activ e	SH, GD	Data-Model to-be refined for each function
www.HL7.org/EHRSFIM		01-2014		EHRWG	ISSUE: Open IP approval by board
FHIR Integration		01-2014		EHRWG	ISSUE: Integrated or linked models?
FHIM Integration		01-2014		EHRWG	ISSUE: Integrated or linked models?
EHR-S FIM r3 Resources	6	01-2014		EHRWG	ISSUE: 6 FTEs for EHR-S & PHR-S FIM r3
Other work (Pub., FHIR, FHIM)		pending	1-2017	EHRWG	1 FTE
EHR-S specific work		pending	1-2017	EHRWG	1 FTE
PHR-S specific work		pending	1-2017	EHRWG	1 FTE
EHR-S and PHR-S FM Modelling specific	143	1-2014	1-2017	Interop	3 FTEs = 1 week-per-function (143)
Care Provision	37				
CP.1 Manage Clinical History	9	pending			Not started
CP.2 Render Externally Sourced Information	2	pending			
CP.3 Manage Clinical Documentation	6	pending			
CP.4 Manage Orders	7	01-2012	inactiv e	SH, GD	$\sqrt{2012}$ prototy pe \rightarrow Todo w rt RM
CP.5 Manage Results	2	01-2012	inactiv e	SH, GD	$\sqrt{2012}$ prototy pe \rightarrow Todo w rt RM
	2	04 0040			
CP.6 Manage Treatment Administration	3	01-2012	to a stirre	SH, GD	$\sqrt{2012}$ prototy pe \rightarrow Todo w rt RM
CP.6.1 Medication Management CP.6.2 Immunization Management		10-2013	inactiv e activ e		Use case done, CCs in progress
CP.7 Manage Future Care	3	pending	acuve		V Ose case dolle, CCS in plogless
CP.8 Manage Patient Education &	2	pending			
Communication	2	penuing			
CP.9 Manage Care Coordination & Reporting	3	pending			
Care Provision Support	67	portainig			
CPS.1 Record Management	14	pending			
CPS.2 Support Externally Sourced Information	9	pending			
CPS.3 Support Clinical Documentation	13	pending			
CPS.4 Support Orders	10	pending			
CPS.5 Support for Results	1	pending			
CPS.6 Support Treatment Administration	5	pending			
CPS.7 Support Future Care	2	pending			
CPS.8 Support Patient Education &	7	pending			
Communication					
CPS.9 Support Care Coordination & Reporting	6	pending			
Population Health Support	17				
POP.1 Support for Health Maintenance,	3	pending			
Preventive Care and Wellness					
POP.2 Support for Epidemiological	1	pending			
Investigations of Clinical Health Within a					
Population	4	a construction of			
POP.3 Support for Notification and Response	1	pending			
POP.4 Support for Monitoring Response Notifications Regarding a Specific Patient's	1	pending			
Health					
POP.5 Donor Management Support	1	pending			
POP.6 Measurement, Analysis, Research and	6	pending			
Reports	0	pending			
POP.7 Public Health Related Updates	1	pending			
POP.8 De-Identified Data Request	1	pending			
Management		P			
POP.9 Support Consistent Healthcare	1	pending			
Management of Patient Groups or Populations					
POP.10 Manage Population Health Study-	1	pending			

POA&M Task	#	Start	Done	POC	Comment
Related Identifiers					
Administration Support	22				
AS.1 Manage Provider Information	8	pending			
AS.2 Manage Patient Demographics, Location	1	pending			
and Synchronization					
AS.3 Manage Personal Health Record	3	pending			
Interaction					
AS.4 Manage Communication	5	pending			
AS.5 Manage Clinical Workflow Tasking	5	pending			
AS.6 Manage Resource Availability	7	pending			
AS.7 Support Encounter/Episode of Care	6	pending			
Management					
AS.8 Manage Information Access for	6	pending			
Supplemental Use					
AS.9 Manage Administrative Transaction	6	pending			
Processing					
Trust Infrastructure					
TI.1 Security	25	01-2012	Inactiv e	GD, SH	$\sqrt{2012}$ prototy pe \rightarrow Todo w rt RM
TI.2 Audit	1	01-2012	inactiv e	GD, SH	$\sqrt{2012}$ prototy pe \rightarrow Todo w rt RM
TI.3 Registry and Directory Services	1	pending			
TI.4 Standard Terminology and Terminology	1	pending			
Services					
TI.5 Standards-Based Interoperability	6	pending			
TI.6 Business Rules Management	1	pending			
TI.7 Workflow Management	1	pending			
TI.8 Database Backup and Recovery	1	pending			
TI.9 System Management Operations and	1	pending			
Performance					
Record Infrastructure					
RI.1 Record Lifecy cle and Lifespan	25		inactiv e	GD, SH	
RI.1.1.2 Record Entry Create		12-2012			$\sqrt{2012}$ prototy pe \rightarrow Todo w rt RM
RI.2 Record Synchronization	1	pending			
RI.3 Record Archive and Restore	1	pending			

WORKGROUP AND PROJECT LOGISTICS

HL7 List Server Registration:

http://www.hl7.org/myhl7/managelistservs.cfm

1-770-657-9270

1-770-657-9270.

1-770-657-9270.

1-770-657-9270.

Passcode 510269#

Passcode 510269#

Passcode 510269#

Passcode 510269#

Link

TRA

Link

TBA

List Server

EHR Legal

EHR Interop

EHR Interop

EHR WG

EHR PHR

N/A

EHR Usability

(for agendas,

announcements)

- HI7 Workgroup Call-Schedule:
- EHR WG Wiki:

1500

1200

1300

Open

0930

FULL EHR WG

Personal Health Record WG

EHR System Usability WG

EHR WG Co-Chairs

Wed

Thur

Fri

http://www.hl7.org/concalls/default.aspx http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=EHR

	•					
Health Level Seven – Electronic Health Record Work Group Weekly Teleconference Schedule Revised: 20 November 2013						
Day	Time US ET	Activity	Lead(s)	Dial-In	Screen Sharing	
Mon	1200	Records Management/ Evidentiary Support	Warner, Gelzer	1-877-668-4493 Code 927 002 088#	<u>Link</u>	
	1300	EHRS FM Release 3 Planning	Hufnagel, Dickinson	1-770-657-9270, Passcode 510269#	<u>Link</u>	
Tues	1400	Meaningful Use Functional Profile	Datta, Dickinson	1-770-657-9270, Passcode 510269#	<u>Link</u>	

Co-Chairs

Doo

Gartner

Co-Chairs

Ritter, Dickinson,

Mon, Ritter, Rocca,

- EHR CCD to Blue Button Tool Project Wiki This project defined the conversion of an HL7 Continuity of Care Document (CCD) to the Blue Button format via an XSLT style sheet tool. Project contact: Lenel James and Keith Boone. List Service: EHRTeamCCD@lists.hl7.org
- EHR-S FM Profile Tool Project Wiki This project, sponsored by the HL7 Tooling Workgroup, will produce a (web-based and/or desktop) tool to create EHR-S FM profiles (starting with the EHR-S FM R2), with enforced profiling rules, and exports as documents, support for and XML interchange format for reuse across profile tool instances or for use in other tools. Project contact: John Ritter; johnritter1@verizon.net
- EHR Usability Project Wiki This project has been launched to translate existing, well established usability guidelines and health information management principles into functional criteria in the EHR System Functional Model (EHR -S FM) standard. Project contact: John Ritter, Don Mon, Mitra Rocca and Walter Suarez List Service: ehrwgusability@lists.hl7.org
- PHR Project Wiki The HL7 Personal Health Record System Functional Model provides a reference list of functions that may be present in a Personal Health Record System (PHRS). Project contact: John Ritter; johnritter1@verizon.net
- Diabetes Data Strategy Project Wiki The scope for this project is to focus on the minimum data set and data standards in EHR systems for diabetes assessment in children in outpatient clinic settings, based on clinical and business requirements. Project contact: Don Mon; donmon@rti.org

REFERENCE INFORMATION

1) Common Clinical informatics standards:

- SNOMED CT for problems, smoking status a)
- b) **DICOM** for radiology
- LOINC for laboratory anatomical pathology, LOINC tax onomy c) for document types for inpatient notes
- RxNorm for pharmacy d)
- CVX and MVX for immunology e)
- HITSP C32, HL7 CCD and CCDA-CCD for VLER Health data f)
- ICD9 CPT4/HCPCS ICD9PCS for TRICARE billing data. g) ICD-10 and SNOMED CT for outpatient visits, ICD-10 and h)
- LOINC for admissions encounter data CPT4 and HCPCS for procedures
- i) PDA-F for scanned paper reports
- i) k)
- **CDC** value set race codes for demographics D)
- UCUM for units of lab measures
- m) NUCC Health provider tax onomy for provider types

2) Common technical standards:

- CTS or Common Terminology Service a)
- b) FHIR or Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resource with RESTful API.
- CDS or Clinical Decision Support API c)
- CCDA is Consolidated CDA d)
- VPR or Virtual Patient Record e)
- RDF or Resource Description Framework for semantic web f) applications
- RLUS or Retrieve Locate Update Service for heterogeneous g) database facades
- JSON or JavaScript Object Notation h)
- WS* or Web Service Standards i)

EHR-S FM r2.0 Perspectives 3)

- a) Care Provision
 - CP.1 Manage Clinical History i)
 - ii) CP.2 Render Externally Sourced Information
 - iii) CP.3 Manage Clinical Documentation
 - iv) CP.4 Manage Orders
 - v) CP.5 Manage Results
 - vi) CP.6 Manage Treatment Administration
 - vii) CP.7 Manage Future Care
 - viii) CP.8 Manage Patient Education & Communication
 - ix) CP.9 Manage Care Coordination & Reporting

Care Provision Support b)

- CPS.1 Record Management
- ii) CPS.2 Support Externally Sourced Information
- iii) CPS.3 Support Clinical Documentation
- iv) CPS.4 Support Orders
- v) CPS.5 Support for Results
- vi) CPS.6 Support Treatment Administration
- vii) CPS.7 Support Future Care
- viii) CPS.8 Support Patient Education & Communication
- ix) CPS.9 Support Care Coordination & Reporting

Population Health Support c)

- POP.1 Support for Health Maintenance, Preventive Care i) and Wellness
- ii) POP.2 Support for Epidemiological Investigations of Clinical Health Within a Population
- POP.3 Support for Notification and Response iii)
- iv) POP.4 Support for Monitoring Response Notifications Regarding a Specific Patient's Health
- POP.5 Donor Management Support v)
- vi) POP.6 Measurement, Analysis, Research and Reports

- vii) POP.7 Public Health Related Updates
- viii) POP.8 De-Identified Data Request Management
- ix) POP.9 Support Consistent Healthcare Management of Patient Groups or Populations
- POP.10 Manage Population Health Study-Related X) Identifiers

d) Administration Support

- AS.1 Manage Provider Information
- AS.2 Manage Patient Demographics, Location and ii) Synchronization
- iii) AS.3 Manage Personal Health Record Interaction
- iv) AS.4 Manage Communication
- AS.5 Manage Clinical Workflow Tasking v)
- vi) AS.6 Manage Resource Availability
- vii) AS.7 Support Encounter/Episode of Care Management
- viii) AS.8 Manage Information Access for Supplemental Use
- ix) AS.9 Manage Administrative Transaction Processing

Trust Infrastructure e)

- TI.1 Security i)
- ii) TI.2 Audit
- TI.3 Registry and Directory Services iii)
- iv) TI.4 Standard Terminology and Terminology Services
- TI.5 Standards-Based Interoperability v)
- vi) TI.6 Business Rules Management
- vii) TI.7 Workflow Management
- viii) TI.8 Database Backup and Recovery
- ix) TI.9 System Management Operations and Performance
- f) Record Infrastructure
 - RI.1 Record Lifecy cle and Lifespan i)
 - RI.2 Record Synchronization ii)
 - RI.3 Record Archive and Restore iii)

FHIR (Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources) 4)

- FHIR Data Dictionary is at: http://www.hl7.org/implement/standards/fhir/

FHIR Administrative h)

- i) Attribution: Patient, RelatedPerson, Practitioner, Organization
- Resources: Device, Location, Substance, Group ii)
- Workflow Management: Encounter, Alert, Supply, Order, iii) OrderResponse
- Financial: Coverage iv)

FHIR Clinical c)

- General: AdverseReaction, Allergy Intolerance, CarePlan, i) Family History, Condition, Procedure, Questionnaire
- Medications: Medication, MedicationPrescription, ii) MedicationAdministration, MedicationDispense, MedicationStatement. Immunization. ImmunizationProfile
- iii) Diagnostic: Observation, DiagnosticReport, DiagnosticOrder, ImagingStudy, Specimen
- Device Interaction: DeviceCapabilities, DeviceLog, iv) DeviceObservation
- d) FHIR Infrastructure

Working-Document, Last-Updated: Dec 9, 2013

- i) Support: List, Media, Other, DocumentReference, (Binary)
- Audit: Provenance, Security Event ii)
- Ex change: Document, Message, OperationOutcome, iii) Query

Page 7

Conformance: Conformance, ValueSet, Profile iv)

e) Acronyms

- aka also know n as
- CC EHR-S FIM Conformance Criteria
- CDA Clinical Document Architecture
- DD Data Dictionary
- CIM Conceptual Information Model
- **CP** Care Provision
- CPS Care Provisioning Support
- EA Enterprise Architect
- EHR-S EHR System
- EHR-S FIM EHR-S Function-and-Information Model
- FHA US Federal Health Architecture
- FHIM US Federal Health Information Model
- FHIR Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources
- **FIM** EHR-S Function and Information Model
- **FIM(MU)** EHR-S FIM Meaningful Use profile

- FM Function Model
- FY Fiscal Year


•

•

•

- IHE Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise
- IM Information Model
 - MDHT Model Driven Health Tools
 - MU US Meaningful Use objectives-and-criteria
 - ONC US Office of the National-Coordinator
- OHT Open Health Tools
- POA&M Plan of Actions and Milestones
- R 2/3 Release 2 or 3
- RI Resource Infrastructure
- **RIM** HL7 Reference Information Model
- S&I ONC Standards & Interoperability Framework
- WBS Work Breakdown Structure
- WG Work Group

2	1	November 2013
3		
4		MONTHLY SUMMARIES
5		(Reverse Chronological Order)
6	1	November 2013
7		For details see http://wiki.hl7.org/images/8/83/HL7_EHR-WG_Summary-Presentation_November_2013.pdf
8		
9	1)	EHR WG is waiting on the EHR-S FM Release-2 ISO ballot comments; where, the HL7 release-2
10	• ,	ballot-comments have already been reconciled. The ISO ballot closes on 3-Dec-2013; and then, the
11		ISO-ballot-comments can be reconciled during December-and-January and EHR-S FM release-2
12		can be finalized in January 2014. The EHR WG has also been updating the EHR-S FM release-2
13		add-on to the Sparx EA-tool to support the creation of profiles.
14	2)	PHR WG is waiting on the PHR FM Release-2 ISO ballot-comments, which close 3-Dec-2013 and
15	-	will be reconciled during December-and-January; where, the HL7 release-2 ballot-comments have
16		already been reconciled.
17	3)	EHR RMES WG is discussing release authorization within the S&I Framework esMd group; where,
18		esMD is analyzing the situation where healthcare-payers frequently request that providers submit
19		additional medical-documentation for a specific claim, to support claims processing and other
20		administrative functions, such as the identification of improper payments. Currently, Medicare
21		Review Contractors request approximately 2 million medical documents per year by mailing a paper
22		request letter via US Postal Service to healthcare providers. Until recently, providers had only two
23		options for submitting the requested records: 1) mail paper or 2) send a fax. The manual paper
24		process is costly, time consuming and can delay proper claims processing on both the senders' and
25 26	4)	receivers' end. EHR Usability WG is collecting issues and mitigations into a reference library, which can be the
20 27	4)	basis of integrating usability into the release-3 EHR-S FIM.
28	5)	EHR Interoperability WG focused on the May-2014 Meaningful-Use Profile for the EHR-S FM
29	0)	release-2 and preparation for release-3:2016; where, the November release-3 focus was to define
30		Reference-Models for Concept-of-Operations, Function Information-and-Conformance-Criteria:
31		• Figure 1 HL7 EHR-S FIM release-3 Relationship with HL7 RIM-and-FHIR [Jan 2012 PSS#688]
32		Figure 2 EHR/PHR Concept-of-Operations (CONOPS)
33		Figure 3 EHR-S/PHR-S RM
34		Figure 4 EHR/PHR-RM Operation-Types Model (Verb-Hierarchy
35		Figure 5 EHR/PHR-RM Data-Types Model
36		Figure 6 CP.6.2 Immunization-Management Use-Case
37		Figure 7 CP.6.2#01 Immunization-Management Conformance-Criteria
38		Figure 8 EHR-S FIM-FHIR-FHIM Requirements-Specification Relationship
39		Figure 9 Example EHR-S FIM-FHIR Requirements-Specifications
40		Figure 10 Example EHR-S FIM-FHIR-FHIM Requirements-Specification
41		



45

50

51

54


56

Figure 2 EHR/PHR Concept-of-Operations (CONOPS)

- EHR-S Concept-of-Operations (CONOPS) Use-Case A <u>Clinician</u> and <u>Patient</u> and/or their designated <u>Agents</u> have
 <u>Encounters</u>; where, they use a System <u>GUI</u> (Graphical-User-Interface) to manage <u>Record-Entries</u> and <u>EMRs</u> (Electronic
 Medical Records); where,
- 49 The System, based-on Business Rules,
 - establishes pre-conditions to trigger information flow
 - determines (SHALL/SHOULD/MAY) applicability for the System to-provide-the ability-to-manage or directly-manage
- 52 maintains post-conditions in accordance with
- scope-of-practice, organizational-policy,
 - jurisdictional-law, and patient-preferences.
- The <u>Clinician</u>, <u>Patient</u> (or their designated agent) can
 - review the <u>Patient</u> <u>EMR</u> (Electronic Medical Record) and associated <u>Information</u>
- observe and treat the Patient, write Orders, document the Encounter, provide Information

- 58 provide patient-Information and educational-Information
- 59 enter EMR Records and associated Information; where,
 - Record Entries are Orders, Treatments, Observations and associated Information
 - Lists are Care-Plans, Care-Records, Findings, Problems-and-Concerns, Documents & Notes •
 - sign Encounter by the Clinician(s) and/or the Patient
- Conformance-Criteria are Scenario threads-of-execution through the Use-Case or Model. 63
- 64

61 62



65

66


Figure 3 EHR-S/PHR-S RM

The EHR Reference-Model (RM)^{1 [based-on OASIS RM definition]} 67

- 1. Establishes pre-conditions 68
- Defines applicability (The System SHALL/SHOULD/MAY "provide-the-ability to manage" or "directly-manage") 69 2.
- 70 3. Structures **Record-Entry** relationships


¹ According to the Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards (OASIS) a reference model is "an abstract framework for understanding significant relationships among the entities of some environment, and for the development of consistent standards or specifications supporting that environment. A reference model is based on a small number of unifying concepts and may be used as a basis for education and explaining standards to a non-specialist. A reference model is not directly tied to any standards, technologies or other concrete implementation details, but it does seek to provide a common semantics that can be used unambiguously across and between different implementations."

71		 defined-by system operation-and-data models; where,
72		 EHR/PHR system RM is based-on a functional-use-case constrained <u>hierarchical-lexicon</u> of
73		 <u>nouns</u> (<u>Record-Entry</u> data-types) and <u>noun qualifiers</u>,
74		 verbs (manage operation-types) and verb qualifiers with
75		 <u>conditions</u> {Business Rules based on laws, policies, preferences}; where,
76		- Conformance Criteria (CC) are use-case scenario-threads (context and subject-verb-object bindings).
77	4.	Defines Conformance-Criteria syntax-and-semantics; where,
78		 Function Conformance-Criteria and their profiles constrain the manage sub-types, <u>Record-Entry</u> sub-types
79		 Functions can-be linked-to Information Exchanges (IEs),
80		– IEs can-be linked-to implementation standards-technologies-paradigms-and-patterns (e.g., FHIR, FHIM, IHE).
81	5.	Maintains post-conditions; where,
82		
83	A S	system-Function (SF) Use-Case is a constrained-scope and refined-detail System Reference-Model; where,
84	SF	Conformance-Criteria are System-Action scenario-threads through the SF Use-Case Model containing:
85	1)	SF Invariant-condition (context)
86		a) System Identifier (EHR or PHR)
87		b) System Function (SF) Identifier
88		c) Profile Identifier
89	2)	SF CC Identifier (Number)
90	3)	SF CC Pre-condition (trigger)
91		a) Pre-condition is a verb-clause.
92		b) After a Human-Action or System-Action; then,
93	4)	SF CC Applicability
94		a) The System SHALL, SHOULD or MAY
95		i) "provide-the-ability-to"
96		ii) "directly"
97	5)	SF CC System-Action Bindings
98		a) Operation linked-to Data-Type; where, conditionally,
99		b) the System-Actions depends-on other-SF
100		c) Data-Type are associated-with other Data-Types
101		d) Information Exchange(s) are <i>linked-to</i>
102		i) International Interoperability-Standards (e.g., FHIR)
103		ii) Realm Interoperability-Specifications (e.g., FHIM)
104		iii) Implementation Guides (e.g., Consolidated CDA)
105		iv) Behavioral Interoperability-Specifications (e.g., IHE)
106	~	v) Service Level Agreement (e.g., local workflow)
107	6)	SF CC Post-Condition (expected-outcome)
108		a) Post-condition is a subordinate-clause.
109	- ^\	b) "where, the System-Actions are"
110	7)	SF CC See Also
111 112		a) Supporting or related SFs (e.g., Infrastructure)



113 114


Figure 4 EHR/PHR-RM Operation-Types Model (Verb-Hierarchy)



115 116

Working-Document, Last-Updated: Dec 9, 2013

Figure 5 EHR/PHR-RM Data-Types Model



- 138 iii) Associated Data: <u>Alerts-and-Notification</u>, <u>Allergy-Intolerance-or-Adverse-Event</u>, <u>Patient-Clinical-</u>
 139 <u>Measurement</u>, <u>Patient-Directive</u>, <u>Immunization-Schedule</u>, <u>Patient-Educational-Information</u>,
 140 Signature.
- e) Where all System-Actions are "according to scope-of-practice, organizational-policy, jurisdictional-law,
 patient preference-or-consent."
- 143






Figure 7 CP.6.2#01 Immunization-Management Conformance-Criteria

- 147 CP.6.2#01 During an <u>Encounter</u>, the system SHALL provide-the-ability-to *capture, maintain and render* 148 Immunization Administration; where,
- 149 Treatment Record-Entry details are as discrete-data, including 150 immunization name/type, strength and dose; date-and-time of administration; 151 manufacturer, lot number 152 Immunization Administration can be realized-by FHIR; where, Immunization-Administration is then associated with the following resources: 153 AdverseReaction and other Observations, 154 155 Patient, Practitioner, Organization, Location; 156 Immunization-Administration can be realized-by FHIR-profiles based-on the US Realm FHIM 157 Immunization and related Domains. 158









CURRENT ISSUES-ACTIONS

- 166 5. HL7 Board approval of EHR-S FIM Release-3 open-IP; where, the EHR-S FIM home page is www.hl7.org/EHRSFIM
- 167 6. FHIR WG Coordination to integrate EHR-S FIM-FHIR into a joint Sparx Enterprise Architect (EA) model; where,
- 168 EA can generate integrated EHR-S FIM-FHIR International-Realm interoperability requirements-specifications
- FHIM Team Coordination to integrate EHR-S FIM-FHIR-FHIM into a joint Sparx Enterprise Architect (EA) model; where
 EA can generate integrated EHR-S FIM-FHIR-FHIM US-Realm interoperability requirements-specifications
- 171 8. Call-for-Participation in EHR-S/PHR-S FIM r3 based on a common EHR-S/PHR-S RM (ReferenSce Model), where,

165