**Orders & Observations Conference Call**

**16 September 2016**

**+1 770 657 9270, Passcode: 398652#**

**WebURL:** [**https://join.me/vernetzt.us**](https://join.me/vernetzt.us)

**Attendees:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Organization |
| 1 | Wendy VerHoef | Samvit Solutions / NCI |
| 2 | Kathy Walsh | LabCorp |
| 3 | Riki Merrick | Vernetzt, LLC / APHL |
| 4 | Ron Van Duyne | CDC |
| 5 | Raj Dash | Duke / CAP |
| 6 | Andrea Pitkus | IMO |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |

 Regrets: Rob Hausam

**Co-Chair**: Riki Merrick

**Scribe:** Riki Merrick

Agenda/Minutes:

1. Agenda Review
2. Approve minutes – defer until we have completed the spreadsheet
	1. from May 6, 2016: : <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160506_ConCall.docx>
	2. from May 27, 2016:<http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160527_ConCall.docx>
	3. from June 3, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160603_ConCall.docx>
	4. from June 10, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160610_ConCall.docx>
	5. from June 17, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160617_ConCall.docx>
	6. from June 24, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160624_ConCall.docx>
	7. NO CALL ON July 1, 2016
	8. From July 8, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160708_ConCall.docx>
	9. From July 15, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160715_ConCall.docx>
	10. From July 22, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160722_ConCall.docx>
	11. From July 29, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160729_ConCall.docx>
	12. From August 5, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160805_ConCall.docx>
	13. From August 12, 2016 – NO Quorum
	14. From August 19, 2016 – <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160819_ConCall.doc>
	15. From August 26, 2016 - <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20160826_ConCall.doc>
	16. From September 2, 2016 – NO Quorum
	17. From September 9, 2016 – No call
3. Compare Specimen DAM to biologic specimen model in BRIDG - map specification source is BRIDG and the map to is specimen DAM

Spreadsheet status at end of mapping:

<http://www.hl7.org/documentcenter/public/wg/bridg/BRIDG%20to%20Specimen%20DAM%20Mapping%20Spreadsheet%2020160826.xls>

Discussion:

Questions from last weeks for Raj:

PerformedActivity – how to capture deviations from SOP – make code or comment or both – defer to Raj!

Biobanking – 5x5x5 – but you can only give 3x1x1 – that kind of information would be a comment – but also want to pull out all the ones that did not follow protocol – add comment and deviation type

Bridge has another class of SOP deviation, because this is geared towards regulatory issues with research – severity, date range, category and subcategory

PerformedActivity.delayDuration – not needed – the expected turn-around time is part of the protocol, so not here

PerformedActivity.negationIndicator – is that similar to the deviation type code would – may be only for specimen that will never be processed – could be covered by deviation type of “not started”

PerformedActivity.negationReason – for performedActivity can be covered by

PerformedProcedure.targetAnatomicSiteConditionCode – what codes are expected (except may be indicating that specimen could not be collected due to the condition of the site; might be very high level – difficult to maintain and use – so can also be covered in deviation type

PerformedProcedure.targetAnatomicSitePortionCode – comes from TOUR – Tumor related use case – also difficult to maintain (depends on the location of the site – is this talking about the scope of the resection? That still could be covered by the type or the

In SPM we also have the SpecimenSourceSiteModifier (SPM-9) – that could take care of that with expansion of the source site modifier value set – Raj would like to add as separate element

Specimen.containingSpecimenCollectionGroup – these are all the specimen collected at the same encounter - DAM currently has the specimenIdentifer repeating, so type code could identify as a group number, or as a separate data element? - in BRIDG point either to specimen group, which then points individual specimen or to indiviudal specimen; need to have examples to better understand - there is a relationship to subjectActivity - seems to be related possibly moving as a group - came from LS DAM - collect all specimen of the same type, that don't need to be separately described, but tracked

Spreadsheet at end of call: <http://www.hl7.org/documentcenter/public/wg/bridg/BRIDG%20to%20Specimen%20DAM%20Mapping%20Spreadsheet%20as%20of%20Sep%2016%202016.xlsx>

NEED TO PICK UP HERE NEXT CALL

LOOK FOR EMAIL ABOUT WHEN NEXT CALL will be – for sure 10/14 - may be before, if Rob H or Lorraine can chair

For next calls also to consider:

PerformedAdministrativeActivityVarianceReasonCode – proposed source change to add to SpecimenProcessingActivity and

specimenCollectionProcedure, IF we have a protocolReference (or SOP reference) there as well – yes will add that

PerformedAdministrativeActivityVarianceTypeCode - – proposed source change to add to SpecimenProcessingActivity and

specimenCollectionProcedure, IF we have a protocolReference (or SOP reference) there as well

TargetAnatomicSiteConditionCode – do we need this?

Subject.performingSpecimen – how should we represent when tissue from a tissue bank is a specimen – we think it would still fall under person, only de-identified, because currently our material is ONLY from non-living subject

Subject.performingSpecimen: find out if we need this

Specimen.containingSpecimenCollectionGroup – and related attributes

1. Resources:
	1. Link to BRIDG model: <http://bridgmodel.nci.nih.gov/files/BRIDG_Model_4.0_html/index.htm> - chose VIEW:BSP - biospecimen
	2. Link to Specimen DAM: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=Specimen> – scroll to bottom for image