

HL7 Clinical Genomics Weekly Call - Oct 25, 2016

Attendees

1. Bob Milius - NMDP - bmilius@nmdp.org
2. Perry Mar - Partners HealthCare System - pmar@partners.org
3. Kevin Power - Cerner - kpower@cerner.com
4. Terry McDonnell - Syapse - terrym@syapse.com
5. David Kreda - HMS - david.kreda@gmail.com
6. Amnon Shabo (Shvo) - Philips - amnon.shvo@gmail.com
7. Joseph Kane - Epic - jkane@epic.com
8. Tianlong Chen - USTC - wijp619@gmail.com
9. Yi Wang - USTC - panzer.wy@gmail.com
10. Joel Schneider - NMDP - jschneid@nmdp.org
11. Bob Freimuth - Mayo Clinic - freimuth.robert@mayo.edu
12. Bret Heale - Intermountain Healthcare - bheale@gmail.com
13. Andrea Pitkus
14. Clem McDonald - NLM
15. Gil Alterovitz - BCH

Discussion

- Brief reports
 - ClinGen/ClinVar
 - Bob F - ClinGen continuing work on documentation; looking at provenance; W3C provenance model; SEPIO (Scientific Evidence and Provenance Information Ontology)
 - Clem - FHIR has a provenance model
 - SEPIO: <https://github.com/monarch-initiative/SEPIO-ontology>
 - GA4GH
 - Bob F - VMC (Reese who is a GA4GH stakeholder); working on next steps prior to publication and dissemination; will probably share in mid November
 - National Academies
 - no report
 - Other
 - no report
- Ballot Reconciliation updates
 - Ballot Reconciliation Howto
 - http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=Reconciliation_HowTo
 - FHIR
 - *HL7 Genomics Conference*
 - <http://www.hl7.org/events/policyconference201610/>
 - V2 Lite
 - Clem - two parts
 - big changes
 - combine structural and sequence variants - one panel (clinvar includes both, even if edges are fuzzy), some complex variants include both structural and simple
 - complaints about linkage pointer

- discussed some alternatives
- block vote:
 - non-negative, persuasive without modification
 - vote is conditional - one week for evaluation
 - discussion:
 - Perry - want to limit to request for clarification or for more information; could have a non-negative but with an inappropriate suggestion
 - Clem - not negative, not required to be in person; implies that we can deal with it without
 - Perry - issue that we're blindly accepting changes;
 - Joseph K - don't have time to go through each line by line; vote to conditionally accept with provision to examine one week to change.
 - Kevin - please send filtered list so we know what we voted on
 - vote
 - abstain - Bret Heale, David Kreda, Gil
 - nays - 0
 - yeas - rest (motion passes)
- DAM
 - still need feedback comments/changes by next week
 - document is articulating use cases to drive specification development, not to solve problems
 - David will send out reminder to the group listserv.
- Other
 - none

Recorded Chat

-