



Melva Peters <melva@jenakerconsulting.com>

## Question on Substitution (FHIR)

Jose Costa Teixeira <jose.a.teixeira@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Jose Costa Teixeira <jose.a.teixeira@gmail.com>  
To: "pharmacy@lists.hl7.org" <pharmacy@lists.hl7.org>

30 June 2015 at 00:54

Hi All

A short question on "substitution type", which is referenced on both Prescription and Dispense.

<http://hl7-fhir.github.io/medicationprescription.html>

<http://hl7-fhir.github.io/medicationdispense.html>

- The description correctly mentions that this is the
- type / reason why substitution may be made (in Prescription)
- type / reason why substitution has been made (in Dispense)

However, they both point at the ActSubstanceAdminSubstitutionCode and SubstanceAdminSubstitutionReason, and i do not know if the previous distinction is well mapped.

**Code** seems to be more suitable for the reason **why substitution may be made** (generic substitution, therapeutic equivalent)

<http://hl7-fhir.github.io/v3/vs/ActSubstanceAdminSubstitutionCode/index.html>

While **Reason** seems to be more applicable to the reason **why it has occurred** (stock etc)

<http://hl7-fhir.github.io/v3/vs/SubstanceAdminSubstitutionReason/index.html>

The examples given in Code seem more applicable to Prescription, and the examples for Reason more applicable to Dispense. However, both 2 resources use the same 2 concepts.

Is this intended and just a matter of examples?  
Or would an expansion / review be of help?

Thank you

Kind regards

Jose

\*\*\*\*\*  
[Manage your subscriptions](#) | [View the archives](#) | [Unsubscribe](#) | [Terms of use](#)