20170124\_LOI\_Notes

Attendees: Freida, Bob Y, Carolyn, Cindy, Kathy, Leanna, Riki, Sheryl, Hans, Dan, Gail, Andrea

In the past reconciliation spreadsheets were posted to the ONC wiki, we are now updating the ballot desktop – but that is ONLY accessible to HL7 members and people that paid for ballot

Riki will follow up with HQ if we can post the spreadsheet to ONC wiki

#27: Motion to find persuasive: Cindy, Bob Y, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 11

#28 = same intent as #27

#29 = same intent as #27 applicable to LOI

#54 = Ruth comment numbers were based on the Appendix as to what changed in the guide, but since we re-organized the guide all of the references are off now – Motion to find not persuasive Bob Y, Dan, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 11

#55, #57, #58, #59 = same as #54

#56 = bookmark sections are NOT listing the correct sections – is due to Purpose not having the correct style – so the pdf does not pick that up and also the TOC hyperlinks are not working – HQ needs to fix that – Motion to find persuasive with mod – will work with HQ on that Bob Y, Hans, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 11

#48: Suggestion is to add a list of elements that have been pre-adopted from versions later than HL7 v2.5.1 – NIST has a list we can start from for all the guides – Motion to find persuasive and apply to the other lab guides also Hans, Kathy, further discussion: Intent is to adopt the list as generated by NIST and verify that we caught all of it. And where to place it? – as Appendix; is already in each spot, so feels like busy work – Frieda will do for eDOS, Riki for LOI, TBD for LRI, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 11

#49: compare to as of v2.7 the accession number needs to be unique, which may be an issue – that would apply to ONLY to eDOS - having trouble to get folks adopt v2.5.1, so listing it as a higher version will be a big issue – and also we need to stay in sync with the version that the other guides are – Motion to find not persuasive Freida Hall, Kathy, Hans, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 11

#46: Move the patient age LOINC to different table, since age is not an element in the HL7 message – take this entire line out of table 9-4 and move it to table 9-1 Cindy, Hans, further discussion: so we are just moving it from one table – no changes to the entry? we don’t want to move it from this section, because we want them to send DOB; DOB is required in LOI, so we always will have that, and that is the preferred means to get to age; Table 9-1 is in section 9.2 – it is already in that table, intent of 9-1 is do this while table Table 9-4 is do not do these things – Hans withdraws second, Cindy also – Motion to find not persuasive with mod – keep here and take it out of the table 9-1 Hans, Dan, further discussion: it is still in one table; against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 11

Sheryl drops off

#50: Find persuasive – Hans, Kathy, further discussion: it is listed in TS\_10 – need to copy over from LOI or LRI, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 10

Cindy drops

#51: the referenced DSTU comment was withdrawn, hence Motion to find not persuasive Freida, Hans , no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 9

#52: Answered question by sending her a note about where to look up the version umbers for vocab – Hans, Freida, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 9

#53: same as #54

#60: Questions answered – there is no fixed link Bob Y, Freida, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 9

#45: NTE is only used for charge description master – not clinical content, copy note over from LOI for NTE.3 – motion to find persuasive Hans, Bob Y, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 7

#1: CDM support for modifiers – need more research – Frieda sent question to some ACLA folks that were involved in development of the ACLA eDOS the first round

Dan drops off

Still have the open STU comment – Riki will try to get that resolved on the Feb call

Do we think the changes we agreed to for this round does not need re-ballot, because here are not big substantive changes, so we can publish, once LOI and LRI ballot reconciliation is completed

Logistics:

On 2/7 Riki not available – Hans can

Would like to get Freida deputized for reconciliation as temporary Co-Chair, if Riki and Hans are

LOI:

We want to add the NDBS component

#120: Motion to update reference at the time of publication to the then current LRI guide as well as eDOS – so all reference each other Hans, Bob Y, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 6

#121: same as LRI#FIND COMMENT NUMBER – Motion to find persuasive Hans, Bob Y, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 6

#122: Motion to find persuasive, Bob Y, Kathy, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 6

#123: Motion to find persuasive Kathy, Freida, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 6

Also related are #5, #44 and #75

#126: Motion to find persuasive, Kathy, Freida, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 16

#107: step 4 and 6 are not listing the ACK messages – it seems the items listed here are that are specific for the scenario described at the specific step –Motion to rename “requirements “ to “Main Messages and Key Data” - for step 4 to use ACK^O21^ACK and 6 to use ACK^^O22ACK message type and MSA-2 (Message Control ID) matches MSH-10 (Message Control ID) of the message the ACK is for. Hans, Bob Y, further discussion: does this mean we are making changes to the other tables? For sure the column name - the content we will address separately, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 6

#190 – NEG from Ruth; We think the reference should go to 4.4 ORL – need to review both paragraphs as they are cited in #153 – need to look at original spreadsheet to find out

#153 is same location as A\_S from Craig,

If the LIS creates the cancel it is NOT a cancel as requested it is an OML, while if it is responding to the placer requesting a cancel an ORL message with cancel as requested is sent – hold till we get David on, too

On Thursday will look at PHER items in LRI