20170221\_LOI\_Notes

Attendees: Bob Y, Freida, Cindy, Kathy, Riki, Andrea

#130: Riki to still send the email to Rob – for use of R in groups that are X / #154 in regards to identifying the common component vs core what NIST uses in their docs

AT- no issues so far to bring back, except for art fixes

#89 = thank you = mark as considered no action

#155 – Kathy sent email – no

#160 – follow up with Craig

#105: suggestion to re-organize the ACK sections – request the submitter to draft new section and clarify if this same change applies to LRI as well – Riki to email John for input

#169: sounds like a good clarification – find persuasive

#170: see# - Bob Y to find the number

#19 = #57: find persuasive

#171: find persuasive – Freida checked the base already!

#22 = #60: OBX-30 is RE in LRI – move over as is; we may have to adjust the value set for HL70937 – actually already have that, seems it was editorial oversight to not have added to IG. Do we need the pre-adoption notes in the field comments? Leave for now find persuasive

#23 = #61: find persuasive

#108: same as #23

#40: OG datatype example: should the sequence number be updated to arrange them in the group – for linking you create the group to collect them all in – so agree with Swapna – update the labels on the examples to include employer 1 and employer 2 – find persuasive with mod

#10 = #48: find persuasive

#162: find persuasive

#12 = #50: Bob to research and see, where the tying between NB and TO component in his brain comes from and document it

#14 = #52: We put that there to make sure people understand that this does not limit us to using only the legal name – answered

#163: GT1-3 was a required field, per ANSI cannot be made unrequired at later point in time – the current resolution was agreed upon – in order to change this would need a CR to Financial Management and deprecation of both fields and use of PRT now – not in scope here = not persuasive; Freida will also send email to Craig

#164: Remove the note in GT1-11 comment field – value set is defined

#165: suggestion is to add to comment in OBR-3 field: or when sending application ACKs for new or append orders

#167: need to change cardinality to varies and then list it under the respective components, except for the Optional, because we don’t make any statements for optional elements

#166: persuasive

#168: we are no longer using ORC-16, this a left over from prior version of the IG – remove note – find persuasive

#180: mark as cross guide item

#175: Change usage from C(RE/O) to C(R/O) – when would there ever be NO separator when 2 numbers are sent? Send a note to the LabUS realm list to verify that we can find this persuasive = Riki to do

#174: DR\_01 is not used in this guide, but in another guide – keeping the flavor in sync

#177: Clem is saying there is a LOINC for the type of local code we picked – use a different example? Use new labtest1? We are saying that it is OK to not send a LOINC – for many panels we will get to LOINC

May be CAnnn instead of CA125 – also lab developed tests may also not get LOINCs – persuasive with mod

#144: we should use the portal link – make note that need to select tools and then pick the OG – cross guide application – Find persuasive with mod

#185: answer is yes – this applies only for the tests that have AOEs – submitter can provide proposed new wording? Add: “when race or ethnicity is clinically significant to the outcome of the test” – answered

#124: using OBR-13 for fasting status or as OBX or an OBX; for reviewer see eDOS for more detail on AOEs – find persuasive with mod

#150: change the “e.g. collection volume of urine” to “e.g. pregnancy status, recent travel, etc.”

Neg only 16% of this ballot – same trend in

Have call on Thursday for PH\_Component!

Call adjourned 5:01 PM

Rolled PH\_Component over, where rows had resolutions – copy ONLY the ones from the subgroup over

Riki made changes to submitter information – make list of which items are John Roberts on behalf of Walter Kemper and which ones are for John Roberts only to adjust at the end of reconciliation