**Orders & Observations Conference Call**

**4 November 2015**

**+1 770 657 9270, Passcode: 398652#**

**WebURL:** [**https://join.me/vernetzt.us**](https://join.me/vernetzt.us)

**Recording (no audio):**

**Attendees:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Organization |
| 1 | Carolyn Knapik | CAP |
| 2 | Wendy Ver Hoef | Samvit Solutions - NIH |
| 3 | Riki Merrick | Vernetzt, LLC, APHL |
| 4 | Andrea Pitkus | IMO |
| 5 | Ron Van Duyne | CDC |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |

 Regrets: Rob Hausam

**Co-Chair**: Riki Merrick

**Scribe:** Riki Merrick

Agenda/Minutes:

1. Agenda Review
2. Approve minutes from the last calls
	1. [Sep 23, 2015](http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20150923_ConCall.docx)
	2. [Oct 21. 2015](http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20151021_ConCall.docx)

Motion to approve both minutes as uploaded Carolyn, Wendy, no further discussion, against:0, abstain: 0 in favor: 4

1. Compare Specimen DAM to biologic specimen model in BRIDG - map specification source is BRIDG and the map to is specimen – stored here: <http://www.hl7.org/documentcenter/public/wg/bridg/BRIDG%20to%20Specimen%20DAM%20Mapping%20Spreadsheet%2020150909.xls>
	1. Link to BRIDG model: <http://bridgmodel.nci.nih.gov/files/BRIDG_Model_4.0_html/index.htm> - chose VIEW:BSP - biospecimen
	2. Link to Specimen DAM: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=Specimen> – scroll to bottom for image

Discussion:

SpecimenCollectionProtocol: At least add a reference to the short title or ID to protocol to the DAM (other attributes: repeat pattern, etc – the short title is not unique – may be give a choice; both are relevant – definedActivity and ActivityID would have to be added to the mapping sheet and use those for the map to the new reference element) – this will support Quality reporting / tracking activities in the future.

Remaining attributes – mark as implementation specific

Think we will create two categories of protocols – one for SpecimenCollection and one for SpecimenProcessingActivity – mark as gap for now until we make that decision in the DAM – might have to have better understanding at what point the SpecimenCollectionProtocol and the SpecimenProcessingActivity – up to the user and could join several activities together – the removal as well as the actions on preparation – the definition is vague on that part – so definitely implementation specific

BRIDG also has ImageAcquisitonProtocol…

Wendy is going to change the filtering to add in the inherited attributes of several other classes that are not specific to the biologic Specimen domain for future review – we are have almost completed those attributes, but there may be others in the DAM that need to get mapped.

Wendy will also update the others where DAM is considering

SpecimenCollectionProtocolSubject: Provides a link between the subject and the protocol that should be used for this person – this is more on the planning end of things – if I reference the protocol in the specimenCollectionActivity for the instance when the collection is recorded – DAM more at that level rather than at the planning level – same applies to all its attributes

Spreadsheet at end of call: <http://www.hl7.org/documentcenter/public/wg/bridg/BRIDG%20to%20Specimen%20DAM%20Mapping%20Spreadsheet%2020151104%20-%20minus%20macros.xls>

Call adjourned 10:59 AM ET

1. Next Steps:
	1. Compare Specimen DAM to biologic specimen model in BRIDG = Identify issues, if any find resolution – doing that on our calls
	2. IHE AP domain is working on structured AP report in CDA and has some requirements, we might not yet have considered – have added the concerns identified to the spreadsheet above - Identify issues, if any find resolution
2. Action Items: