20170228\_LOI\_Notes

Attendees: Bob Y, Hans, Shennen, Freida, Kathy, Sheryl, Cindy, Riki, Carolyn, Andrea, Clem

LOI #134: TS\_4 maps to TS\_05 Make that update Bob Y, Riki, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 7

LOI #180: normalizing the datatype flavor titles – adjust based the progress made in HL7 and the main datatype flavor library there – mark as considered for future use apply by normative cycle

LOI #90: will need to adjust the language from ELR to LRI PH component and make that consistent across the guides – ELR is no longer a separate document bob to bring text for scope section back – Find persuasive with mod, Bob Y, Riki, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 8

LOI #133: need to ask David what he was seeing

LOI#131 = see#130

LOI#130: See email from Rob – remove the X from group end; For SAC keep R and use note from Rob’s email

For container begin = X and note as is

OBX should be O and same note as for SAC

Adjust as presented in the document Riki, Bob Y, further discussion: verify what is being changed – no change in the actual support for the container group – for all segments in the group that are supported, will list the base standard usages, against: 0, abstain: 3, in favor: 6

LOI is about 50% completed

LRI ballot recon:

Newborn screening comments will be prepped for block vote on 3/8 call

LRI#436: Need to create a new datatype OG\_02 where OG.1 is R and the rest of them are X; Motion to add as described Riki, Clem, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 4, in favor: 6

Should assert that for LRI\_CG\_Component MUST OG\_02 in OBX-4, so OBX-4 datatype goes to varies, LRI\_CG\_component = OG\_02, all others OG\_01 – Motion to do that Riki, Shennon, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 3, in favor: 7

What about the note that the dot notation is not normative – allow alternatives – similar in micro we had several options, then we nailed it down in LRI – we will re-ballot LRI in May – may be list it as the preferred method for starters, but plan on having only a single method in the normative edition (2019/2020) – is OG.1 is specifying that the results will be displayed according to that organization – cannot be assumed, but the dot notation will

CG will develop a position in regards to the handling the use of dot notation

Cindy drops off

Riki will review the NBS approach recommendations and possibly re-consider using OBX-4 instead of parent child linkages

Find other comments related:

LRI #8 – Swapna – review #436 and follow up with her before closing that

LRI#9 – find not persuasive and point to #436

#240 – see #436 – mark as not persuasive with mod, no need to fix examples based on OG\_02

#184: with the adoption for the new flavor the old string LRI\_CG can use the string notation, since OG.2 and OG.3 are not capable of sufficient complexity, so we created OG\_02 to support the algorithm, find not persuasive with mod Shennon, Riki, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 2, in favor: 7

#185: LRI\_CG\_Component declares use of OG\_02 which supports the dot notation and accomplishes the same – not persuasive, Shennon, Riki, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 2, in favor: 7

#227: CG only wants to use OID for code systems that don’t have HL70396 assigned codes – for the standard codes use HL70396, but for non-standard codes may only have an OID; IF CWE.3 or CWE.6 is NOT valued, then CWE.14 and CWE.17 respectively MUST be valued – better to make them value CWE.3 and CWE.6 anyway; Intent is to use HL70396 values as much as possible – looking at v2.8.2 CWE.14 states that OIDs SHALL be registered in the HL7 OID registry, when not HL7 tables.

Copy CWE\_04 and create new datatype With CP requiring either CWE.3 or CWE.14 are valued Clem, Bob Y, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 1, in favor: 6

LRI#432: Covered by #436 and #227 – how do we declare that LRI\_CG – in HL70125 will need to decide how to declare that CG will use a different datatype in OBX-5 – currently doing that ONLY in the comments – we may have to create CS from these

Need to pick up here Thursday 3:30 – 4 PM – send note to list serve that we will be switching to CG topics at that time

Call adjourned 5:07 PM ET