# 2013.06.04 HL7 Templates Workgroup Conference Call Minutes

## Chair: Mark Shafarman

##  Scribe: Mark Shafarman

## List of Attendees:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Name | Affiliation | email |
| Mark Shafarman | Shafarman Consulting Inc. | Mark.shafarman@earthlink.net |
| Kai Heitmann | HL7 Germany | kai@heitmann.de |
| Sean McIlvenna | Lantana Systems | sean.mcilvenna@lantanagroup.com |
| Lisa Nelson | Cox Systems | lisarnelson@cox.net |
| Sean Muir | MDHT | sean.muir@comcast.net |
| Dan Brown | Audacious Inquiry | drb.gfc@comcast.net |

We had two new colleagues on the call, Dan Brown (from the beginning) and Sean Muir. Since Kai could only attend for a few minutes, Mark spent most of the time bringing the new attendees up to date. In reviewing the new PowerPoint from Kai and the spreadsheet provided by Mark the following points were discussed.

1. Can only one version of a template be active at a time (with effective start/end dates that don't over-lap the effective start/end dates of any other version)?

Ans: The IHE use case for more than one version to be active at a time is: the 'original (version1) and second version (version2) may both be active at the same time. We still need to answer the question of whether the start/end dates of any 2 active versions can overlap.

1. Are these (the template versioning and template family status change spreadsheet and the template versioning ppt) registry or repository requirements? This came up in discussion of the MDHT template implementation.
	1. MDHT is primarily a repository. There are a number of registry functions that could be created but requirements need to be discussed and coordinated with the repository requirements. Examples could include a ‘template discovery’ query (and/or a query by example) functionality; a ‘discovery’ example would be a query using a reference to a particular value set. Since MDHT is a model driven design implementation this should be straightforward, but it is a question of deciding on the priorities.
	2. We need to discuss (and add columns to the spreadsheet?) specifying whether a particular state (and/or state transition) of the *template family* and/or the *template version* is required at the registry or repository level, or both and also what additional information is needed (optional and/or required) for a functional registry and repository. This needs to be coordinated with the governance, design, and implementation levels of the SAIF model.
	3. Can we define a minimal set of requirements for both registry and repository that is also coordinated with the SAIF model?
2. Coordination between MDHT and the templates ITS project. Sean mentioned that Andy and Kai should coordinate directly with him on these issues. Dan Brown also said that he had experience with MDHT, and if his time permits, might also be available to respond to questions. Sean and Dan will participate in the templates conference calls as their time permits.

Plans for next week’s conference call.

1. Please review the minutes from the 5/21 and 5/28 (and 6/10) conference calls, so that we can approve/correct them at our 6/10 call.
2. Please review the updated PowerPoint and spreadsheet for any corrections/additions/ updates.
3. Define next steps for the Templates ITS project and coordinate those with the ongoing discussions of template family and template version requirements and interactions.