**Orders & Observations Conference Call**

**21 June 2017**

**+1 770 657 9270, Passcode: 398652#**

**WebURL: https://join.me/vernetzt.us**

**Attendees:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Organization |
| 1 | Riki Merrick | Vernetzt / APHL |
| 2 | Ron van Duyne | CDC |
| 3 | Kathy Walsh | LabCorp |
| 4 | JD Nolen | Cerner |
| 5 | MariBeth Gagnon | CDC |
| 6 | Andrea Pitkus | IMO |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |

 Regrets: Raj Dash, Rob Hausam

**Co-Chair**:

**Scribe:** Riki Merrick

Agenda/Minutes:

1. Agenda Review
2. Approve minutes = postpone till later
	1. from March 22, 2017: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20170322_ConCall.docx>
	2. From May 3, 2017: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20170503_ConCall.docx>
	3. From May 17, 2017: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20170517_ConCall.docx>
	4. From June7, 2017: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20170607_ConCall.docx>
	5. Form June 14, 2017: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_20170614_ConCall.docx>
3. Specimen DAM ballot reconciliation – see spreadsheet: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:Ballotcomments_V3_DAM_SPECIMEN_R2_I1_2017MAY_Consolidated.xlsx>

#28 check with Riki on comment. Unclear. – This is Rob Hausam’s comment – will check with him, if he has a list we could work from, when he is not on, else someone would need to go through and compare the diagram against the tables

#72 Group likes the change…persuasive    Kathy motion JD 2nd discussion: none against: 0 abstain: 0 in favor: 5

#18 Move missed collection comments to be captured as part of order.     not persuasive with mod motion Kathy / JD 2nd Discussion 0, Against 0 Abstain 0 in favor: 5

#19 Per Andrea, we need to review IHE LAW profile for pooling to be consistent – Riki to do

#20 Question about trios that is better answered by CG WG – Riki to forward

#68 Reaching out to commenter to help with editing – Riki to do

#12 Harmonize with UDI…we need to review UDI DAM - JD to do

#14 same as #12 - JD

#11 Riki will review and harmonize with FHM

#32 Agree with comment. Will remove SpecimenExpirationDate Motion: JD Kathy 2nd Discussion none Against 0 abstain 1 (Andrea) in favor: 4

How to handle SpecimenReceiveDate is still open – so leave yellow

#38 Similar to #70

#39 Persuasive Motion Kath / JD 2nd discussion none    against 0    abstain 0 in favor: 5

#40 Delete the wording as this seems to be the correct spot  motion JD / Kathy 2nd Discussion 0, Against 0 Abstain 0 in favor: 5

#37 Find persuasive motion JD / Kathy 2nd Discussion 0, Against 0 Abstain 0 in favor: 5

#46 Find persuasive motion Kathy / JD 2nd Discussion 0, Against 0 Abstain 0

#51 Since move can be inside or outside organization and the receiver can assign a new ID, the comment is correct. Add under specimenIdentifier notes section: A new specimen may result from a specimenMoveActivity, when a receiver assigns a new ID. The other attributes may also change. No decision by group

**Next call – 6/28/2017**

1. Resources:
	1. Link to BRIDG model: <http://bridgmodel.nci.nih.gov/files/BRIDG_Model_4.0_html/index.htm> - chose VIEW:BSP - biospecimen
	2. Link to Specimen DAM: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=Specimen> – scroll to bottom for image