Sept 2011 San Diego WGM Co-Chair Questionnaire



89.1%

41

1. Please enter your demographic information: Response Percent Count Name: 100.0% 46 Company: 93.5% 43 Email Address:

answered question 46
skipped question 0

2. Identify your Work Group or Committee

Phone Number:

Name of WG or Committee

	Architectural review Board	Affiliate Due Diligence	Anatomic Pathology	Arden Syntax	Attachments	Child Health
Select the Work Group or Committee on whose behalf you are responding	2.2% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.2% (1)	2.2% (1)	2.2% (1)	2.2% (1)

3. Was your Work Group represented at the Monday evening co-chairs dinners and steering division meeting?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	88.9%	40
No	11.1%	5
	answered question	45
	skipped question	1

4. Did your WG achieve quorum for the majority of its sessions based on your WG's decision making practices?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	93.5%	43
No	6.5%	3
	answered question	46
	skipped question	0

5. Did your WG set objectives for the WGM?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	97.8%	45
No	2.2%	1
	answered question	46
	skipped question	0

6. If you answer N to question 5, please explain how you planned your meeting

Response	
Count	

4

45

1

answered question	1

7. Did your WG set any of the following specific objectives for the WGM? (choose all that apply)

	Response Percent	Response Count
Ballot resolution	44.4%	20
Engagement with local/regional projects	35.6%	16
New project initiation	55.6%	25
Existing Project work/progress	93.3%	42
Existing Project status updates and sharing	77.8%	35
Joint meetings - engagement with other WGs	95.6%	43
Networking	53.3%	24

Other (please specify)

skipped question

answered question 45
skipped question 1

8. Were you able to substantively accomplish your objectives and meeting business?

	Respo Perce	nse ent	Response Count
Yes	95	.6%	43
No	4	.4%	2
	Commo		

Comments:

4

answered question 45
skipped question 1

9. What hindered your ability to achieve your WG objectives or planned work items? (choose all that apply)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Insufficient quorum		12.5%	2
Missing key members		43.8%	7
Members not prepared for meeting		6.3%	1
Change in meeting objectives		12.5%	2
Venue facilities (rooms, meals, support, location, etc.)		25.0%	4
Technical support problems		50.0%	8
	Other ((please specify)	14

answered question 16
skipped question 30

10. What supported your ability to achieve your WG objectives or planned work items? (choose all that apply)

	Response Percent	Response Count
Attendance number (sufficient quorum)	76.2%	32
Participation by key members	85.7%	36
Pre-meeting preparedness (agendas, pre-work, etc.)	81.0%	34
Clearly defined meeting objectives	81.0%	34
Venue facilities (rooms, meals, support, location, etc.)	59.5%	25
Technical Support	28.6%	12
	Other (please specify)	3
	answered question	42
	skipped question	4

11. Would your WG recommend using this WGM venue and location again?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	85.7%	36
No	14.3%	6
	Comments	14
	answered question	42
	skipped question	4

12. Did your WG have additional participation from local/regional members?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	27.3%	12
No	72.7%	32
	Other (please specify)	4
	answered question	44
	skipped question	2

13. Does your Work Group anticipate having difficulty having enough co-chairs in attendance to achieve quorum at the next WGM?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	45
	answered question	45
	skipped question	1

14. If you answered Yes to the previous question, has your Work Group designated an Acting Chair for the next WGM?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	3
	answered question	3
	skipped question	43

15. Please enter any other comments or considerations that you would like to be considered by the Working Group Meeting Coordination Committee.

	Response Count
	6
answered question	6
skipped question	40