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Attendees; Kathy, Bob Y, Riki, Austin, Virginia, Carolyn, Craig, Freida, John, Cindy, Andrea, Erin, Leanne

LOI#175: SN datatype comparison between LOI and LRI – is there a scenario that people would want them to be different – it will be ok to make this change in STU, does not have to be backwards compatible; make that a discovered Item in LRI to – Motion find persuasive Craig, Austin, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 3, in favor: 9

LOI#160: Is there anything that prevents us from sending a cancel and a non-cancel order in the same message – when you are starting to deal with replacement scenarios it happens – so being explicit is probably better – Motion to find persuasive with mod – applies to any C(X/R) in the Order group - Craig, Austin, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 5, in favor: 8

LOI#105: rewrite section before Tuesday 3 PM

LOI#12: LAB-NB – use TS\_02 and TS\_03, per the text in 3.2.1.9 and list them both in PID-7 for that profile – this applies also to LRI – this is a technical correction; Motion to find persuasive with mod – Bob Y, Kathy, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 2, in favor: 11

LOI#85: Motion to make considered for future use – syncing it with the solution from the second v2.9 ballot in May 2017 John, Freida, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 2, in favor: 11

LOI#999: XPN flavor difference: XPN\_01 and XPN\_02 are both different

XPN is used in PID-5 and we can only have one name in LRI and LOI – the name that needs to be on the report = the same name that the provider uses – that is also that PH needs for further follow up; there is usefulness in having more than one name for PH, but can understand that lab only wants to receive one; LOI does not have FN\_01 like LRI – it is missing in LOI – Surname is required, all other O, compared to all O in base; LRI in response to paper may have different requirement than what comes in on the order

At least rename all of them and then apply new names to the fields as currently defined – as separate exercise might be good to review why they are different