

**Attendees: 7**

Present	Name	Email	Affiliation
	Anita Walden	anita.walden@duke.edu	Duke
	Behnaz Minaei	Behnaz.Minaei@fda.hhs.gov	FDA
	Brian Peck	bpeck@epic.com	EPIC
	Claude Nanjo	cnanjo@gmail.com	
	Dr Ed Hammond Ph.D.	william.hammond@duke.edu	Duke
	Edward Helton (NIH/NCI)	heltone2@mail.nih.gov	NIH
X	Elaine Ayres (NIH/CC/OD)	EAYres@cc.nih.gov	NIH
X	Gary Saner		Reed Technologies
	Iona Thraen	ithraen@utah.gov	VA/Dept of Health Utah
	John Kiser	john.kiser@abbvie.com	Abbvie
	Jose Costa Teixeira	jose.a.teixeira@gmail.com	
	Julie James	julie_james@bluewaveinformatics.co.uk	Blue Wave Informatics
	Konstadinos Kidos	konstadinos.kidos@baxalta.com	Baxalta
X	Mead Walker	dmead@comcast.net	Mead Walker Consulting
	Mitra Rocca	mitra.rocca@fda.hhs.gov	FDA
X	M'Lynda Owens	Mlynda.Owens@cognosante.com	Cognosante
	Pooja Babrah		Point of Care Partners
	Rashad Hasan		FDA
	Raymond Kassekert	raymond.x.kassekert@gsk.com	
	Reeves Dianne (NIH/NCI)	reevesd@mail.nih.gov	
X	Rik Smithies	rik@nprogram.co.uk	HL7 UK
X	Sheila Connelly	sconnelly@techtalentresource.com	Technical Talent Resource
X	Susan Terrillion (AHRQ/CQuIPS) (CTR)	Susan.Terrillion@AHRQ.hhs.gov	AHRQ
	Thomas Felix		AMGEN
	Wayne Kubick		CTO HL7
	William Friggle	William.Friggle@sanofi.com	Sanofi
	William Gregory (NYC)	William.Gregory@pfizer.com	Pfizer

**Project Wiki**

[http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=FHIR\\_Adverse\\_Event\\_Resource](http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=FHIR_Adverse_Event_Resource)

**References**

- 1) Search the FDA Acronyms & Abbreviations Database:  
<http://www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/FDAAcronymsAbbreviations/default.htm>
- 2) FHIR Conformance Rules: <http://hl7.org/fhir/conformance-rules.html>
  - a) See 1.12.2 Cardinality

## Agenda

- 1) Approve Minutes for 5/20/2016.
- 2) Continue discussion of outstanding questions from FHIR Management Group (FMG) related to FHIR Adverse Event Resource.
- 3) Continue review of Adverse Event (AE) data elements spreadsheet.
- 4) Review of available references for resource development.
- 5) Next meeting – Friday, June 3, 2016 at 10 AM ET.

## Minutes

- 1) Approve minutes:
  - a) 5/27/2016 Move: Mead/Rik Abstain – 1 Negative – 0 Approve – 5
- 2) Review of Spreadsheet
  - a) Collecting data elements from various resources
  - b) Commonalities vs. differences
  - c) MeDRA - use of terms. Watch use of licensed terminologies.
  - d) AHRQ – not balloted.
    - i) Patient Safety reporting.
    - ii) USHIK has data elements.
    - iii) 1.2 version for common format.
    - iv) Health care event reporting form and summary of incidents report and patient information form.

## Outstanding Questions

Questions and comments from the FHIR Management Group (FMG) related to FHIR Adverse Event Resource:

- 1) The scope should include events that happen to individual other than patients.
  - a) Specifically Practitioners and RelatedPersons
  - b) Possibly also Devices (e.g. equipment damage)
- 2) Timeline should be updated to inclusion in DSTU 3 rather than 2.1.
  - a) 2.1 would have been tight anyhow. Deadline is early July 2016 to have your resource at DSTU-level quality
- 3) For each of the "related resources", can you define what the nature of the relationship is?
  - a) Reference by name – links
  - b) Patient resource
  - c) Observation resource
  - d) Medication resources
  - e) Immunizations
  - f) Devices
- 4) Need to correct and update resource proposal and let Lloyd know.
  - a) [http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=AdverseEvent\\_FHIR\\_Resource\\_Proposal](http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=AdverseEvent_FHIR_Resource_Proposal)

## Other Questions

- 1) Does AdverseEvent adequately reflect use for patient safety issues – e.g. is the use of the resource clear?
  - a) Event for Patient safety
    - i) Incident (reaches the patient)(can be product problems, devices, or outcomes. (Mapped to MedWatch form)
      - (1) Harm
      - (2) No Harm
    - ii) Near misses
    - iii) Unsafe conditions
  - b) Adverse Event
    - i) Substance based or device based
      - (1) Reportable
    - ii) Product problems (defect)
      - (1) Like a near miss or an unsafe condition
      - (2) Depends on how close to the patient
  - c) Some events are reportable to FDA and AHRQ.
    - i) Report timing
  - d) ICH (FDA, Europe and Japan and Pharma) and EMA standards are equivalent
  - e) Resource will address both adverse event and patient safety data
  - f) Out of scope – generalized reports on equipment. (auto parts, machinery).
- 2) FHIR Conformance
  - a) Look at cardinalities and FHIR rules for assigning cardinalities.
  - b) Look at order of data elements to confirm to current FHIR standards (w5 who, what, when, where...order)
  - c) See <http://hl7.org/fhir/conformance-rules.html>
- 3) Need short labels and definitions
- 4) Outcome – might need a codeable concept or a reference to condition (discuss this)
  - a) Do we need to address outcome?
  - b) Medical history in AE reporting now (ICH). Look at MedWatch form. (Optional)
    - i) Potential outcomes of event – hospitalization, death
    - ii) Was the outcome serious- yes or no?
    - iii) AHRQ does capture harm and certain outcomes e.g. wrong patient, wrong side
    - iv) Should not be required
- 5) Discuss on 6/3: Need a context link Reference (Encounter|EpisodeOfCare), could use Study in the future.
- 6) Note that the “Study” resource does not yet exist. This may represent some new work for RCRIM/BRIDG.
- 7) Need to look across other resources for common syntax.
- 8) Look at other standards for adverse events and patient safety reporting to ensure we have met all use cases.

## Action Items

- 9) Any line items that include explicit actions are **highlighted in yellow** above.

## **Next Call**

**Friday, June 3, 2016, 10 AM ET**

### **Agenda for Next Call**

- 1) Approve minutes from May 27 meeting.