|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CH | ISSUE | RESOLUTION | DATE |
| 1.2 | The Governance and Maintenance chapter doesn’t really address “governance and maintenance”. What information should really be covered in this chapter? | It’s not on a normative track, but it needs a process for continuing refinement?  Move MACRA paragraph up to chapter 1.1 – Remove the Governance and Maintenance chapter. |  |
| 1.2 | Should paragraph #1 be moved into the Acknowledgements front matter? | Yes – move to acknowledgements |  |
| 2 | Is the modified title ok? (added “and the C-CDA Companion Guide” because the point is to give context for these two different IG’s relative to each other.) | Let this go in the longer form. |  |
| 2 | Is the proposed simplified content acceptable? | Yes. |  |
| 2 | Review diagram revisions | Done. Revised as requested. |  |
| 2.1 | Do we need to mention Base CDA?C-CDA is supposed to stand alone and not require the average implementer reference the base specification. | Could mention normative web edition 2010Revised bullet 1 to reference the Schema validation.Other improvements noted also. |  |
| 2.2 | Templates – What should be the focus of the 2.2 Templates Chapter, a broad explanation of templates? Or, a discussion of Document Templates to introduce Chapter 2.1 which describes each type of document template? | This is to be a companion to C-CDA should we reference section 2.1? |  |
| 2.2.1 | How should we show the requirement for Care Plan document?What is the specific reference for this requirement? | Answered. |  |
| 2.3.1 | Should “All extension elements shall use the same conventions for order and naming as is used by the current HL7 tooling.” Say:All extension elements shall use the same conventions for order and naming as is used by the current HL7 RIM. | Accepted. |  |
| 2.3.1 | Does SDWG agree it would be good to include one example of the use of an sdtc extension and show/explain how this extension follows the rules listed above? Or does that put too much focus on the extensions?Which extension would be used if an example were included? | Fixed.Also requesting an Embedded Links Appendix that lists the label and full link used. |  |
| 2.5 | Errata – what should the “walk-thru” example include (be specific)? | Keep as an open issue to be revisited in ballot. |  |
| 3.1 | CCDS Mapping issues | See comments in document draft posted on wiki |  |
| 3.1.1 | CCDS Mapping Issues | See comments in document draft posted on wiki |  |
| 3.2 | Document Template Requirements | See comments in document draft posted on wiki |  |
| 4.1.2 | Use of Open Templates |  |  |
| 4.1.3 | Declaring Section Conformance |  |  |
| 4.1.4 | Handling Missing Information and use of nullFlavor |  |  |
| 4.1.5 | Representing “no Known” vs “no information” |  |  |
| 4.1.6 | Narrative Text Representation – major new copy |  |  |
| 4.1.7 | Date/Time Guidance |  |  |
| 4.1.8 | Care Team Representation – major discussion |  |  |
| 4.1.10 | Generating Unique Identifiers – major new copy |  |  |
| 4.1.11 | Specifying Time Intervals in Sections – No copy |  |  |
| 4.2.1 | Race and Ethnicity, Birth Sex and Administrative Gender |  |  |
| 4.2.2 | CCD Guidance |  |  |
| 4.2.3.1 | Where to record admission and discharge dates – No copy |  |  |
| 4.3.1 | Allergies – wrong examples |  |  |
| 4.3.2 | Encounters – No Copy |  |  |
| 4.3.4 | Medications – No Copy for three sub-sections |  |  |
| 4.3.5 | Problems – wrong examples |  |  |
| 4.3.6 | Vital Signs – need copy |  |  |
| 4.4.2 | Health Concern v Problems |  |  |
| 4.4.3 | Plan of Treatment and Goals |  |  |
| 4.4.4 | Birth Sex  |  |  |
| 4.4.5 | Lab Test with and without results |  |  |
| 4.4.6 | Smoking Status vs. Tobacco Use |  |  |