**NBS HL7 Workgroup Meeting Call Notes**

**January 24 2017**

**Attendees:** Riki Merrick, Rhonda West, Brendan Reilly, Dave Jones, Ashleigh Ragsdale, Emily Hopkins, Rebecca Goodwin, Willie Andrews, Joshua Miller, Careema Yusuf

* Reviewed the LRI ballot comments:
  + 62 comments:
  + 12 Negative
  + 2 in person requests both related to consent
    - #454 = NEG = Kathleen Connor = [kathleen\_connor@comcast.net](mailto:kathleen_connor@comcast.net) / Greg Staudemaier = [greg.staudenmaier@va.gov](mailto:greg.staudenmaier@va.gov)
    - #141 = A-S = Christopher Hills = [christopher.j.hills.civ@mail.mil2](mailto:christopher.j.hills.civ@mail.mil2) in person comments related to dealing with informed consent – need to check on the effect the new administrations hold for review has on the common rule regulation that came out on 1/19 – we should evaluate form newborn screening specific perspective and then draft a proposed resolution and invite these folks to the larger Lab guide call from 3 -5 PM Tuesday to resolve as part of their general comment.
  + Sharing the [LRI ballot spreadsheet](https://www.aphlweb.org/cmt/nbsgph/NSSC/HL7/Shared%20Documents/V251_IG_LRI_R1_D3_2017JAN_Consolidated_20170117_NBS_20170124.xlsx)– please don’t share around, but feel free to look and consider responses to the comments
* In the Gap analysis LOI spreadsheet:
  + PID-21 – most folks are using NK1-33 instead – only MI is using it as R = make O as base LOI
  + PID-29 – use TS\_5 here – R to Day, RE to Minutes
  + ORC: ORC-2.1 – make R as in LOI – we allow either NG or GU
  + ORC-3 is there as RE to support cancelations
  + ORC-4 – must follow LOI, but in NDBS won’t ever use it
  + ORC-9 – TS\_$ allows use of 000 for unknown, so no issue for it to be R
  + ORC-12 = OBR-16 – is required in the LRI to get home
  + Technically in TX a NDBS test is not ordered, so do not currently collect that – should bring up to Lab guide group – Riki will make a discovered item on Newborn sheet – question about how to handle state mandate for testing, where no individual authorized person needs to make the order
  + Do we need to support assigning facility? MI in their guide uses LOI datatype, so is O, Follow LOI
  + ORC-21 is the facility where the order is from
  + ORC-12 / OBR-16 = person ordering vs facility
  + OPRC-21.1 = TX ok with RE -0 use only the ID, same in WA and VA, they will only use the ID, not need a new datatype – follow LOI
* START AT ORC-22 next call