# HL7 mHealth- mFHAST Project Meeting

**Subject:** Mobile Frameworks for Healthcare Adoption of Short-Message Technologies
**When:** 03/03/2016 – Thursdays, 2:00 PM-3:00 PM EDT
**Where:**

* **Conference Line**:
	+ 1-866-469-3239 Call-in toll-free number (US/Canada)
	+ 1-650-429-3300 Call-in toll number (US/Canada)
* **Web Conference:**
	+ <https://westat.webex.com/westat/j.php?MTID=m72c5e417e0dcc1ca7b6252a48ca9e677>
	+ Meeting number: 650 446 181
	+ Meeting password: Aloha123!

**Attending:**

* Nathan Botts
* Gora Datta
* David Tao
* Matthew Graham
* Pratibha Kavishwar
* Bill Kleinebecker

**Regrets:**

*

**Agenda:**

* mFHAST house cleaning
* Re-engaging mFHAST domain scoping and high level conformance statements discussion

**Minutes:**

* Discussion on re-engagement of FHIRFrame project and next steps in PSS development
	1. Clarification on differentiation between SMART on FHIR
		1. FHIRFrame taking device level aspects into greater consideration than what SMART on FHIR tackled (at least originally, this might have changed
* Pratibha – Worked at Childrens Hospital Boston , Milford Regional Medical Center, MA)
* Overview of mFHAST
	1. Need for short-message (e.g., text-message) structures to help standardize messaging
	2. Need for libraries and transforms for communicating messages most efficiently
	3. Extend and reduce message size (weight and length) for multiple purposes of healthcare interventions
	4. Can we create a matrix that helps better map messages and their content
* Opportunities to continue with the mFHAST environmental scan and white paper development
	1. Need to investigate potential for light funding to help move some of this work forward
* General consensus that where needed (e.g., communication of PHI) that a secured environment is assumed
* Next week to put together slides on privacy & security aspects of mFHAST.

Old Minutes

* Discussion of interrelation between the different consumer health related efforts, e.g. PHR, Consumer Mobile Health Application Framework, mFHAST
* Distinction between the domain of CMHAF and the specifics which would represent the domain of mFHAST
* Andy – points regarding ITS co-sponsorship and implications of potential movement of mFHAST messaging into serialization aspects
* Question as to where the api framework should reside between the CMHAF and mFHAST.
* Should mFHAST be independent of the security layer?