**Specimen Project Conference Call**

**31 January 2013**

**+1 770 657 9270, Passcode: 653212#**

**Attendees:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name | Organization |
| Lorraine Constable | Constable Consulting |
| Riki Merrick | IConnect |
| Ron van Duyne | CDC |
| Margaret Marshburn |  |
| Hans Buitendijk  | Siemens Healthcare |

**Co-Chair**: Lorraine Constable

**Scribe:** Riki Merrick

**Agenda Review**

What do we still need to complete before we ballot? We may need to try to reconnect with Joyce, Lisa, as they haven’t been here for a while for the genomics use case.

Molly preferred to participate via ballot comments, once the model is ready.

**Update from the Working Group meeting.**

Reviewed draft WGM notes.

Noted issues from the AP specimen identifier project around making identifiers globally unique – OIDs may take up too much space given the size of barcodes on specimen containers. Need both human and machine readable identifiers. Containers may have 3 related identifiers – manufacturer, lab automation linkage, specimen id – check that these are in our model

FHIR has asked OO to work on a specimen resource for this cycle.

Scope of this effort was to include all the use case for ordering and genomic testing and handling process. Specimen attributes from processing listed in the genomics handling use case.

**Review of model**

Storage equipment has different attributes from the container. Have a specimen container plus one or more container carriers.

How would we message those data points?

The lab has other systems to capture carrier info – does it need to be messaged?

Storage equipment – does that need to be messaged? Should have effective date

If it is not to be exchanged, don’t add to the model.

Took off dimensions on container attribute, because that is part of the definition (would be in master files) – makes sense

Container ID – [1..\*]

Lab automation is not currently a use case, but we do have it in v2.x, so need to accommodate – need time with Andre to identify what we really need.

Should we look through v 2.x for elements we may have missed

Description? How is it used? – have container type code for

Description of state of container – those are observations

Capacity is in master file

Name for storage equipment

Do we need the actual dimension of the aliquot?

Looking at other attributes from equipment and common product – don’t think we need.

Lorraine will post latest version to wiki by COB today

Deadline for publishing? – do NIB, then we can see if we can make it – need to get the set up done in time

**Next steps:**

Lorraine to cross-check against the specimen ID work and clean out some definitions

sanity check against what is in SPM and in v3 CMET – on next call

Lorraine to reach out to Lori to finish off the container and container carrier discussion.

Hans to reach out to Joyce to review her use cases and ask her to join us next call

 Next call 2/14/2013