20170202\_LOI\_Notes

Attendees: Craig, Cindy, Bob Y, Kathy, Riki, Rita, John R, Erin,

Block Vote has been sent out last week, have not receive any emails for requests to pull – anyone wants to pull something now?

#296 – for simple blood tests LabCorp does not receive SPM segments – but you get the specimen and you assign a filler ID and you know the specimentype, so you can create the SPM from that?

Pull #296 from block vote

Motion to approve the proposed dispositions for items #155, 380, 266, 278, 283, 393, 158, 159, 268, 401, 405: in the block vote Craig Newman, Erin Holt, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 7

#296: Current CS already requires at least ONE SPM segment, so making SM required anywhere is more strict; in LOI the specimen group is C(RE/O), with CP: If OBR-7 in same observation group is valued.

In LRI the specimen group is RE – from CLIA verbiage accreditation perspective is says specimen source, if appropriate, so hard to know what that means – assume it is the specimen type that the test was performed on, but there certainly is massive confusion in the Labs

There are some in PH, that would like to know if specimen comes from a sterile site, as that makes it reportable – MUST have single place to look up specimen type tested, so then would have to look in the LOINC or other places to glean information; if folks cannot report SPM for a test, PH will request paper reporting for that kind of test;

We are moving the note dated 20170119 – to the triage notes, so that is not

LRI-53 and LRI-54 apply to the base, LRI-PH-100 and LRI-PH-101 – Motion to remove LRI-PH-100, LRI-PH-101 and PLR-PH-102 – find persuasive - John Roberts, Craig Newman, no further discussion, against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 7

For 991: should this be reviewed by the PHER group – is the need for SPM bigger for PH than for the clinical use case? If we require SPM to be in the result we can only require it in the order

If you are analyzing collected tissue – the information is in the surgeon’s report – how is the collection communicated to labs, when it is not collected at the provider when placing the order, or the lab collected the specimen. CS#87 already requires at least ONE occurrence of the SPM segment – this may leave a window open to not have to create a SPM for derived specimen. What was the intent of CS#87?

sounds like folks want to leave it RE, it means that this

How are we getting the specimenID if we don’t have an SPM? If the specimentype is not known, but an ID was assigned – is it reasonable to assume the lab ill ALWAYS know the ID and the type for a specimen they are performing the test

Erin will send this question to the national ELR group get response in 2 weeks - Riki will send to LabMCoP, LabUSrealm

Riki not around next week – need to figure out who will chair