**Specimen Project Conference Call**

**12 April 2012**

**+1 770 657 9270, Passcode: 653212#**

**Attendees:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name | Organization |
| Joyce Hernandez | Merck |
| Jim Case | NLM |
| Ron van Duyne | CDC |
| Mukesh Sharma |  |
| Lisa Schick | Scenpro |
| Mollie Ullman-Cullere | DFCI Harvard |
| Lorraine Constable (starting at 12:30) | Constable Consulting |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Co-Chair**: Lorraine Constable

**Scribe:** Lorraine Constable

**Agenda/Minutes:**

* Agenda Review:
	+ Minutes review
	+ Project Statement
	+ Use Cases Review and Data Requirements

**Minutes from March 29th**

* Updated to include Lorraine Constable on participant list for the last half of the meeting. Not enough participants had reviewed the minutes – approving them tabled till next meeting

**Project Statement**

* Lorraine updated project statements to reflect last meetings discussion – included suggested wording changes from Mollie to reflect the discussion that we are creating a Specimen DAM with LS DAM as a starting point. The goal is to keep one common model across organizations but it was recognized that the working versions might diverge during development cycles and then be re-aligned.

 

* Discussed the specimen identifier DAM project and its relation – AP project scope defining specimen identifiers will become requirements to our work.
* Motion to approve Specimen DAM and CMET project scope statements as amended during the discussion (attached) made by Joyce Hernandez, seconded by Mukesh Sharma.
	+ Against: 0, Abstain 0, In Favour: 6

Post meeting note;

The attached project scope statements were discussed on the OO call, and approved with the addition of Rob Hausam as Vocabulary Facilitator

**Use Case Review**

Began walk through of the Medical Research Use Case submitted by Joyce (linked on the wiki as <http://wiki.hl7.org/images/9/99/Specimen-Core_Model_Diagram_and_Medical_Research_Use_Case_Process_Flow.xls> )

* Joyce pointed out the initial handling activities that include setup and consent
* Discussion occurred around the information received by the use case in the Specimen Collection Procedure Form, includes specimen collection times, and information from processing lab including results
* Questions raised around the various times recorded in the model: time collected / time of the procedure / time sent to lab… It was suggested that we should ensure we get feedback from AP on collection time, etc in surgical pathology.
* The defining time in the DAM should be the biologically relevant time = time of collection

Discussion and comments were continuing, but tabled to the next meeting as we ran out of time.