**Orders & Observations Conference Call**

**6 May, 2016**

**+1 770 657 9270, Passcode: 398652#**

**WebURL:** [**https://join.me/vernetzt.us**](https://join.me/vernetzt.us)

**Attendees:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Organization |
| 1 | Ron van Duyne | CDC |
| 2 | Riki Merrick | Vernetzt, LLC / APHL |
| 3 | Andrea Pitkus | IMO |
| 4 | Dale Chrismon | LabCorp |
| 5 | Wendy VerHoef | Samvit Solutions / NCI |
| 6 | Rob Hausam | Hausam Consulting |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |

 Regrets:

**Co-Chair**: Riki Merrick

**Scribe:** Riki Merrick

Agenda/Minutes:

1. Agenda Review
2. Approve minutes:
	1. from April 22, 2016: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=File:SPM_Minutes_201604022_ConCall.docx> – defer till next week
	2. from April 29, 2016: - no quorum
3. Compare Specimen DAM to biologic specimen model in BRIDG - map specification source is BRIDG and the map to is specimen – stored here: <http://www.hl7.org/documentcenter/public/wg/bridg/BRIDG%20to%20Specimen%20DAM%20Mapping%20Spreadsheet%2020160401.xls>

Discussion:

Start with container move activity:

LabCorp: specimen arrives at regional lab – specimen processing triaging for the container that need to be moved – some may stay there, others may be shipped to other labs

Movement within the lab from one department to another (either as aliquot or the entire specimen)

Irreplaceable sample (specific tissue samples / particular test ordered is specimen specific for a set type in time (tox screen, medication levels)

Do we need to differentiate between human transport vs automated track system?

Storage equipment replacement due to failure – inventory of available storage space

Storage varies based on type of sample collected

Data elements for Lab to Lab

specimenID

origin = responsible lab at LabCorp = sender = from location

destination = performing LabCorp Lab = receiver = to location

status (in package etc)

type of container

date/time when sample placed in holder – also track the employee that did that – have separate date for each specimen container until the tray is full

tray contains container, can be rack = box, and multiple boxes can become a shipment

date/time when box was created = tray is placed into shipment box = shipment date

date/time when received at receiver – closes this container move

on receiver side – start new container move:

box received date/time

tray from box received date/time

container from tray date/time

Dale will try to share a diagram next call

BRIDG is not interested in that level of detail, but the generic structure in the DAM should support this

Looking at PerformedSpecimenMove = administrativeActivity

Will wait for the diagram

Review list of entities still need to review – if these need to be in DAM

Dam currently does not cover protocol at this time

MaterialName and MaterialIdentifier are inherited by product – can then be used as additive in specimen processing activity etc

For DAM need to figure out how to connect these in the DAM <-> BRIDG

**No call next week (5/13/2016) - next call is 5/20/2016 at 12:00 PM EST**

Status at end of the call:

1. <http://www.hl7.org/documentcenter/public/wg/bridg/BRIDG%20to%20Specimen%20DAM%20Mapping%20Spreadsheet%2020160401.xls>
	1. Link to BRIDG model: <http://bridgmodel.nci.nih.gov/files/BRIDG_Model_4.0_html/index.htm> - chose VIEW:BSP - biospecimen
	2. Link to Specimen DAM: <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=Specimen> – scroll to bottom for image
2. Next Steps:
	1. Compare Specimen DAM to biologic specimen model in BRIDG = Identify issues, if any find resolution – doing that on our calls
	2. IHE AP domain is working on structured AP report in CDA and has some requirements, we might not yet have considered – have added the concerns identified to the spreadsheet above - Identify issues, if any find resolution